С	ase 2:13-cv-01194-CBM-SP Document 1	Filed 02/19/13	Page 1 of 22 Page ID #:8
1 2	ALLAN B. GELBARD, ESQ. THE LAW OFFICES OF ALLAN B. GELBARD 15760 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 801		FNEO
3	Encino, CA 91436 Tel:(818)386-9200 - Fax:(818)386-9289		2013 FEB 19 PM 3: 36
4	E-Mail: XXXEsq@aol.com CA Bar # 184971		LUISTED IS TRICT COURT
5	Attorney for Plaintiff		CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES
6	The Stockroom, Inc.		2 Y managements and a set of the local second secon
7			
8	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COU	IRT FOR THE
9	CENTRAL DIST	RICT OF CAI	LIFORNIA
10		C	V12-01101-CRW
11	THE STOCKROOM, INC., a California		V13-01194-CBM
12	corporation,	COMPI	LAINT FOR DAMAGES
13	Plaintiff,		JUNCTIVE RELIEF:
14	V.		R COMPETITION C. §1125)
15 16	VIOLETRCOM, a business entity of unknown form; THE VIOLET WAND STORE, a business entity of unknown form; VIOLETWANDS.COM, a		MARK INFRINGEMENT C. §1115, 1116, 1117)
17	form; VIOLET WANDS.COM, a business entity of unknown form; and DOES 1-10, INCLUSIVE,		CMARK INFRINGEMENT AP CODE § 14200 <i>ET SEQ</i>)
18 19	Defendants	TRADE (TX B&	CMARK INFRINGEMENT C CODE § 16.102)
20		TRADE	LIBEL
21		DEFAN	IATION
22		DILUT	ION
23			R BUSINESS PRACTICES P § 17200)
24		1 X	JNTING
25			CTIVE RELIEF
26		DEMA	ND FOR JURY TRIAL
27			
28		-1-	Complaint for Damages and Injunctive Relief

ALLAN B. GELBARD, ESQ. 15750 Ventura Boulewad, Suite 801 Eacino, CA 91436 Tel (818)585-9200 - Fax (818)285-9289 Plaintiff The Stockroom, Inc., a California corporation, by and through their attorney Allan B. Gelbard, Esq., file their Complaint against defendants Violertcom, a business entity of unknown form; The Violet Wand Store, a business entity of unknown form; Violetwands.com, a business entity of unknown form; and Does 1-10, Inclusive, and allege as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This action arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1051, *et seq* (the Lanham Trademark Act of 1946). Therefore, this Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 as well as supplemental jurisdiction over the additional state and federal causes of action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

VENUE

2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff The Stockroom, Inc.("Stockroom" or "Plaintiff"), is a California corporation having its principal place of business at 2807 W. Sunset Blvd., Los Angeles, California.

Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that defendant
 VIOLETRCOM (hereinafter "VRC"), is a business entity of unknown form, with its
 domicile and principal place of business in Houston, Texas. VRC does business in
 California and throughout the United States via one or more active Internet websites
 including but not limited to www.violetwands.com. Plaintiff presently believes that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

VRC is a sole proprietorship and an alter ego of one or more of the DOE defendants identified herein.

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that defendant THE VIOLET WAND STORE (hereinafter "TVWS"), is a business entity of unknown form, with its domicile and principal place of business in Houston, Texas. TVWS does business in California and throughout the United States via one or more active Internet websites including but not limited to www.violetwands.com. Plaintiff presently believes that TVWS is a sole proprietorship, and an alter ego of VRC and/or one or more of the DOE defendants identified herein.

6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that defendant VIOLETWANDS.COM (hereinafter "VWC"), is a business entity of unknown form, with its domicile and principal place of business in Houston, Texas. VWC does business in California and throughout the United States via one or more active Internet websites including but not limited to www.violetwands.com. Plaintiff presently believes that VWC is a sole proprietorship, and an alter ego of VRC, TVWS and/or one or more of the DOE defendants identified herein.

7. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants 20 DOE 1-10, Inclusive are the true legal names of additional individuals and/or entities 21 who's actions are at issue herein. Plaintiff does not know the true name or capacity of 22 the Defendants sued herein as DOES 1-10 and therefore sues said Defendants by such 23 fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges, that DOES 1-10 are responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's damages as hereinafter alleged were proximately caused by the acts of such Defendants, and each of them.

8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that at all times herein relevant, Defendants and each of them, were the agents, servants and employees of their Co-Defendants and were acting and conspiring both individually and within the scope of such agency, service and employment while performing the acts complained of herein.

9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that DOES 1-10 personally authorised, controlled and/or assisted defendants VRC, TVWS, and VWC in their unlawful activities alleged herein.

10. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that at all times relevant hereto:

- A) That one or more of the DOE defendants dominated, influenced and controlled VRC, TVWS, and VWC.
- B) That VRC, TVWS, and VWC are, and at all times relevant hereto were, mere shells and naked frameworks which one or more of the DOE defendants, used as conduits for his/her/their personal business, property and affairs.
- C) That there is such a unity of interest and ownership between one or more of the DOE defendants (on the one hand) and VRC, TVWS, and/or VWC (on the other hand) that the separateness of VRC, TVWS, and VWC as entities either never existed or has ceased.
- D) That adherence to the fiction of the separate entity existence of VRC, TVWS, and/or VWC would, under the circumstances, sanction a fraud and promote injustice.
- E) That VRC, TVWS, and VWC are the alter egos of one or more of the DOE Defendants, and that each is personally liable for the obligations of as described below.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

11. Plaintiff is in the business of selling adult oriented goods and services via the Internet, mail order, and through various brick-and-mortar storefronts. Plaintiff's business, including its on-line store "The Stockroom" (and the related URLs and websites, www.thestockroom.com, and www.stockroom.com (the "Stockroom URLs")) is one of the oldest, largest and most respected on-line providers of sexpositive BDSM products, clothing, adult novelties, instructional books and audio/visual productions and is widely known and respected in the trade.

12. Plaintiff is the holder of exclusive rights under federally registered and incontestable trademarks (the "Stockroom Trademarks") pertaining to its business name including but not limited to: "Stockroom.com®" Reg # 2935888,
"Stockroom®" Reg # 2964720, and "The Stockroom®" Reg # 3410814;

13. Plaintiff is also the holder of exclusive rights under federally registered trademarks (some being incontestable) for more than thirty (30) additional proprietary product lines in a variety of international classes. Two of these proprietary product lines are registered for protection under the "Neon Wand®" and "KinkLab®" federally registered trademarks in International Class 10.

14. One of Stockroom's best selling proprietary devices (along with it's related accessories) is the Neon Wand®, a hand-held electric discharge device featuring a solid state circuit that allows for varying intensity. Neon Wand® has been federally registered International Class 10 (Reg # 4,192,931) for electric skin and genital stimulators.

15. The Neon Wand® electrode accessories ("Electrodes") include proprietary sealed glass and metal tubes containing inert gasses (creating a luminous visual effect) which transfer the electrical charge from the device to the body and are designed in numerous shapes which create different sensations.

16. Additionally, Stockroom holds several federally registered trademarks for KinkLab®. (See Registrations 3,148,523, 3,295,583, and 3,852,887.) While the KinkLab® mark was initially constrained to clothing related goods, Plaintiff has expanded the use into various fetish related lines including "instruments used for adult stimulation in sexual fetish practices...."

17. Plaintiff has made and continues to make substantial investments of time, effort and expense in the production, manufacturing, marketing and branding of its business and products.

18. Plaintiff is an integral participant in designing, creating and maintaining the look and quality of its goods and services.

19. Plaintiff has not authorized Defendants to employ its trademarks or deceptively similar marks, nor have Defendants obtained licenses to do so from any legitimate third party.

20. Defendants operate one or more active Internet websites, including but not limited to The Violet Wand Store located at www.violetwands.com, which employs a shopping cart and credit card processing system which allows for the actual purchase of Defendants' goods and their shipment into the State of California. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants have done substantial business with California residents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Defendants are in the business of, among other things, distributing goods 21. and services that directly compete with Plaintiff's business. Specifically, Defendants sell numerous electrical skin and genital stimulators and the accessories therefor. Defendants' electro-stimulator devices, generically referred to as Violet Wands, employ non-solid state circuitry, and are more fragile and costly than Plaintiff's competing devices. Defendants' electrodes are - in large part - functionally interchangeable with Plaintiff's Electrodes, and vice-versa.

22. In addition to their own lines of electro-stimulators, defendants purport to sell "KinkeLab Neon Wand" stimulators as well as a second electro-stimulator device defendants identify as a "Neon Wand - Kengoh." Both of these devices are offered for sale into California through Defendants' interactive website for prices significantly less than the wholesale price for Plaintiff's authentic Neon Wand device.

Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendants 23. have employed Plaintiff's trademarks in a manner calculated to create initial point confusion as to the availability of Plaintiff's goods at prices below the actual available price in order to damage (and/or cheapen) Plaintiff's brand, to dissuade customers from purchasing Plaintiff's goods, and to thereby attempt to sell their own competing goods.

24. Defendants have employed Plaintiff's registered trademarks and 22 confusingly similar versions thereof (e.g., "Kinkelab") as metadata in their website(s), 23 and thereafter engaged in search engine optimization ("SEO") in order to generate 24 internet traffic from and/or draw prospective customers for Plaintiff's goods to 25 Defendants' website(s). Defendants then list both what they claim to be Plaintiff's 26 goods, and/or third party goods under Plaintiff's trademarks, at prices below that at 27 which they are actually able to and/or intend to honor, in an effort to sell alternative 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Case 2:13-cv-01194-CBM-SP Document 1 Filed 02/19/13 Page 8 of 22 Page ID #:15 goods (commonly referred to as "bait and switch"). 1 2 25. Additionally, Defendants have published, and continue to publish, 3 untruthful and disparaging statements pertaining to Plaintiff's goods. 4 5 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION** 6 **UNFAIR COMPETITION - 15 U.S.C. §1125** 7 8 26. Plaintiff re-alleges all facts included or inferred in Paragraphs 1-25 9 inclusive, above. 10 11 27. Plaintiff's "Neon Wand®" and "Kinklab®" marks are inherently 12 distinctive. 13 14 Plaintiff's "Neon Wand®" and "Kinklab®" marks have acquired 28. 15 secondary meanings through Plaintiff's long term use of the marks, their efforts to 16 promote the sales of their branded products and their efforts to generate and protect 17 the goodwill of their brands. As a result of Plaintiff's efforts, a significant portion of 18 19 the market has come to exclusively associate the "Neon Wand®" and "Kinklab®" marks with Plaintiff and their goods and services. 20 21 29. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that at all times 22 23 relevant hereto, Defendants were actually aware of the famous nature of Plaintiff's marks, and that Defendants, themselves, associated the "Neon Wand®" and 24 "Kinklab®" marks with Plaintiff's goods. 25 26

Plaintiff is the holder of a federally registered trademarks for "Neon 30. Wand®" and "Kinklab®" in International Class 10.

ALLAN B. GELBARD, ESQ. 15760 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 801 Encino, CA 91436 Tel (818)386-9200 - Fax (818)386-9289

27 28

31. Plaintiff includes the "Neon Wand®" and "Kinklab®" marks on its goods, websites, promotional products, and product packaging in order to identify its goods and services and distinguish same from the goods and services of others.

32. Defendants' use of the Neon Wand, Kinklab and deceptively similar "Kinkelab" marks is employed in the sale of goods and services for which Plaintiff has exclusive Trademark rights.

33. Plaintiff has, at all times relevant hereto, taken care to enforce its marks and to prevent third parties from infringing thereon.

34. Plaintiff has, at all times relevant hereto, exercised significant control over the quality of its goods and services in order to maintain the value, brand loyalty, and good will related to Plaintiff's "Neon Wand®" and "Kinklab®" branded goods.

35. Defendants' unlawful sale of competitive but inferior goods through use of the deceptively similar "Kinkelab" (sic) and "Neon Wand" marks constitutes an intentional and unlawful use of Plaintiff's names, marks and trademark. Said misuse constitutes a false designation of origin, and is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake, and to deceive the public as to the origin of these goods.

36. Defendants unlawfully employed Plaintiff's Kinklab® and Neon Wand® marks as metadata in its website(s), which it thereafter exploited through various methods (including but not limited to SEO) in order to damage Plaintiff's brands and goodwill, and to unfairly compete with Plaintiff.

37. Defendants' unlawful infringement of Plaintiff's trademark was made in
connection with Defendants' sale of goods in direct competition with Plaintiff.

38. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that said misuse has actually caused numerous customers of Plaintiff, members of the trade, and end users, to suffer confusion, mistake, and/or to be deceived as to the origin and authenticity of these goods and/or services.

39. As a result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damages including but not limited to loss of earnings and goodwill, in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no case less than one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00).

40. As Defendants' conduct was an intentional infringement of Plaintiff's federally registered trademark, undertaken to unfairly compete with Plaintiff's business, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of statutory penalties including treble damages, attorney's fees and costs of suit.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT - 15 U.S.C. §§ 1115, 1116, 1117

41. Plaintiff re-alleges all facts included or inferred in Paragraphs 1-40 inclusive, above.

42. Defendants' misuse of Plaintiff's "Neon Wand®" and "Kinklab®" marks, as asserted above, constitutes willful trademark infringement.

43. As a result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered
damages including but not limited to loss of earnings and goodwill, in an amount to be
proven at trial, but in no case less than one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00).

As Defendants' conduct was an intentional infringement of Plaintiff's 44. federally registered trademark, undertaken to unfairly compete with Plaintiff's business, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of statutory penalties including treble damages, attorney's fees and costs of suit.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT - CA B&P CODE § 14200 ET SEQ

45. Plaintiff re-alleges all facts included or inferred in Paragraphs 1-44, inclusive, above.

46. Plaintiff's "Neon Wand®" and "Kinklab®" marks are famous and/or distinctive marks.

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

Defendants' misuse of Plaintiff's marks began after the marks had 47. become famous and is likely to cause dilution of Plaintiff's marks.

Defendants sell their goods into California through an interactive website 48. and through telephone orders.

20 49. As a result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered 21 damages including but not limited to loss of earnings and goodwill, in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no case less than one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00).

50. As Defendants' conduct was an intentional infringement of Plaintiff's federally registered trademark, undertaken to unfairly compete with Plaintiff's business, Plaintiff is entitled to treble actual damages, injunctive relief, and the seizure of all infringing goods sent into California. 28

Ca	se 2:13-cv-01194-CBM-SP Document 1 Filed 02/19/13 Page 12 of 22 Page ID #:19						
1	FORTH CAUSE OF ACTION						
2	TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT - TX B&C CODE § 16.102						
3							
4	51. Plaintiff re-alleges all facts included or inferred in Paragraphs 1-50,						
5	inclusive, above.						
6							
7	52. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that Defendants						
8	have their principal place of business in Houston, Texas.						
9							
10	53. While Defendants operate a interactive website and sell goods and						
11	services into the State of California, they are also subject to Texas state Business and						
12	Commerce Code § 16.102 which Defendants have violated based on their activities as						
13	alleged above.						
14							
15	54. Plaintiff is entitled to treble actual damages, injunctive relief, and the						
16	seizure and subsequent destruction of all infringing goods located in Texas.						
17							
18	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION						
19	TRADE LIBEL						
20							
21	55. Plaintiff re-alleges all facts included or inferred in Paragraphs 1-54						
22	inclusive, above.						
23							
24	56. Defendants have knowingly published to third parties, without						
25	justification or privilege, the following statements pertaining to Plaintiff's business						
26	and its Neon Wand® product line:						
27	A) "[P]roduct support is very minimal"						
28							
	-12- Complaint for Damages and Injunctive Relief						

Ca	se 2:13-cv-0119	04-CBM-SP Document 1 Filed 02/19/13 Page 13 of 22 Page ID #:20
1	Η	3) "Avoid the Neon Wand chinese (sic) devices at Kinklab.com as it
2		has very weak output and does not work with any violet wand
3		accessories."
4		
5	(C) "Neon Wand will not work with violet wand accessories and will
6		not do violet want techniques."
7		
8	Ι	D) "Neon Wand can not accept violet wand accessories such as
9		body contact cables, lightbulb adaptors or other electrodes, and it
10		can't do all violet wand bdsm techniques."
11		
12	H	E) Neon Wand "[e]lectrodes are more fragile than violet wand
13		electrodes"
14		
15	57. 7	The above statements are false and Defendants knew they were false
16	when they we	re published.
17		
18	58. I	Defendants' statements disparaged Plaintiff's goods in that the public and
19	persons and e	ntities which did business with Plaintiffs were led to believe that their
20	customer serv	vice and the quality of their goods were inferior.
21		
22	59. A	As a proximate result of Defendants' publication of the false statements,
23	prospective c	ustomers have been deterred from buying Plaintiff's goods and from
24	otherwise dea	ling with Plaintiff. Further, the actual pecuniary value of Plaintiff's
25	stock, tradem	arks and goodwill have been damaged in an amount not presently
26	ascertained, b	ut which will be proven at trial.
27		
28		

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	60.
	5	inclusive,
	6	
	7	61.
	8	practices a
	9	
	10	62.
	11	prospectiv
9	12	otherwise
15760 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 801 Encino, CA 91436 Tel (818)386-9200 - Fax (818)386-9289	13	stock, trac
/entura Boulevard, Suite 801 Encino, <i>Cl</i> Tel (818)386-9200 - Fax (818)386-9289	14	ascertaine
rd, Suite 8 00 - Fax (15	
a Bouleva 18)386-92	16	
50 Ventura Tel (8	17	
157	18	
	19	63.
	20	inclusive.

21

26

27

28

Plaintiff re-alleges all facts included or inferred in Paragraphs 1-62 inclusive, above. 64. As a result of Defendants' actions, as alleged above, Plaintiff's Neon 22 Wand® and KinkLab® trademarks and Plaintiff's goodwill and the value of Plaintiff's 23 business have suffered dilution in value in an amount not presently ascertained, but 24 which shall be proven at trial. 25

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

DEFAMATION

Plaintiff re-alleges all facts included or inferred in Paragraphs 1-59, above.

se 2:13-cv-01194-CBM-SP Document 1 Filed 02/19/13 Page 14 of 22 Page ID #:21

Defendants' statements are of and concerning Plaintiff's business and are defamatory per se.

As a proximate result of Defendants' publication of the false statements, ve customers have been deterred from buying Plaintiff's goods and from dealing with Plaintiff. Further, the actual pecuniary value of Plaintiff's demarks and goodwill have been damaged in an amount not presently d, but which will be proven at trial.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION DILUTION

Case 2:13-cv-01194-CBM-SP Document 1 Filed 02/19/13 Page 15 of 22 Page ID #:22

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

UNFAIR COMPETITION - CALIFORNIA B&P CODE § 17200 ET SEQ.

65. Plaintiff re-alleges all facts included or inferred in Paragraphs 1-64, inclusive, above.

66. Defendants actions, as alleged above, constitute unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices and unfair, deceptive, untrue and misleading advertising.

67. Defendants' actions are likely to cause confusion, mistake and deception in the minds of Plaintiff's customers, members of the trade, and the general public as to the origin and/or sponsorship of Defendants' good and/or services.

68. As a result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered damages, including but not limited to loss of earnings and goodwill, in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no case less than one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00).

69. As Defendants' unlawful business practices included an intentional infringement of Plaintiff's federally registered trademarks, bait-and-switch sales scheme, and ongoing product disparagement, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of statutory penalties including treble damages, attorneys' fees and costs of suit.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION ACCOUNTING

27 70. Plaintiff re-alleges all facts included or inferred in Paragraphs 1-69
28 inclusive, above.

71. Plaintiff is entitled to a complete disgorgement of all revenues earned by Defendants as a result of their intentional and unlawful infringement of Plaintiff's unlawful activities as alleged herein.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

72. Plaintiff re-alleges all facts included or inferred in Paragraphs 1-71 inclusive, above.

73. Defendants' acts of unfair competition, trademark infringement, unfair business practices have caused Plaintiff to suffer severe and irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

74. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that absent an order from this Court, Defendants will continue their unlawful acts. Said acts will cause Plaintiff to suffer continuing damages for which there are no adequate remedies at law.

75. Plaintiff is entitled to temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to enjoin any further such acts on behalf of Defendants, or any party or entity acting in consort with them.

WHEREFORE; PLAINTIFF PRAYS:

76. That the Court issue a Temporary Restraining Order enjoining
Defendants and their respective agents, employees, successors and assigns, and all
other persons acting in concert with them, from:

-16-

Ca	se 2:13-cv-01194-CBM-SP Document 1 Filed 02/19/13 Page 17 of 22 Page ID #:24					
1	A) Employing the Neon Wand® and KinkLab® marks, (including but					
2	not limited to "Kinkelab Neon Wand" and "Neon Wand - Kengoe")					
3	and any other deceptively similar mark(s) to sell good in					
4	International Class 10; and,					
5						
6	B) Erasing, deleting, altering or destroying any documents, electronic					
7	files or business records that pertain to the purchase, sale, and/or					
8	distribution of any goods in International Class 10 through use of					
9	the Neon Wand® and/or KinkLab® trademarks or deceptively					
10	similar marks.					
11						
12	77. That the issue Preliminary and Permanent injunctive relief in accordance					
13	with the Order requested in Paragraph 76 above.					
14						
15	78. That Defendants be required to pay to Plaintiff's actual damages					
16	proximately resulting from Defendants' unfair competition, trademark infringement,					
17	unfair business practices, trade libel and defamation; and,					
18						
19	79. That Defendants account for all gains, profits and advantages derived by					
20	Defendants by said unfair competition, trademark infringement, unfair business					
21	practices, trade libel and defamation; and,					
22						
23	80. That Defendants be required to deliver up to be impounded during the					
24	pendency of this action all goods bearing Plaintiff's marks to deliver up for					
25	destruction all infringing copies, equipment and other matter for making such					
26	infringing copies and/or the advertisement of same.					
27						
28						

ALLAN B. GELBARD, ESQ. 15760 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 801 Encino, CA 91436 Tel (818)386-9200 - Fax (818)386-9289

28

Cas	e 2:13-cv-01194-CBM-SP Document 1 Filed 02/19/13 Page 18 of 22 Page ID #:25				
1	81. For actual, general, special, statutory and/or exemplary damages, as may				
2	be awarded by this Court; and,				
3					
4	82. That Defendants pay to Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to15				
5	U.S.C. § 1117(a), CA B&P §§ 14200 et seq, 17200 et seq, and TX B&C § 16.102.				
6					
7	83. That Defendants pay to Plaintiff the costs of this action; and,				
8					
9	84. For such additional and further relief which this Court deems just and				
10	proper.				
11					
12 13	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
14					
15	PLAINTIFF hereby respectfully demands a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(a)				
16	of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.				
17					
18	Dated: this 18 th day of February, 2013.				
19					
20	THE LAW OFFICES OF ALLAN B. GELBARD				
21					
22	Altan B. Gelbard, Esq.				
23	Altan B. Gelbard, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff The Stockroom, Inc.				
24					
25					
26					
27 28					
20	-18- Complaint for Damages and Injunctive Relief				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Consuelo B. Marshall and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Sheri Pym.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV13- 1194 CBM (SPx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

소문 그는 이번에 가지 않는 것이 아니는 것이 아이는 것이 아이는 것이 가지? 것이 아이는 것이 아이는 것이 아이는 것이 같아.

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

LI Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 2	13-cv-01194-CBM-SP Document 1 Filed	02/19/13 Page 20 of 22 Page ID #:27		
1		TRICT COURT FOR THE ICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	THE STOCKROOM, INC., a California	CASE NUMBER		
4	corporation,			
5	Plaintiff, vs.	CV13-01194 mm		
6		/ce,		
7	VIOLETRCOM, a business entity of unknown form; THE VIOLET WAND	SUMMONS		
8	STORE, a business entity of unknown form;	SUMMONS		
9	VIOLETWANDS.COM, a business entity of unknown form; and DOES 1-10,			
10	INCLUSIVE,			
11	Defendants.			
12	TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT(S),	You are hereby summoned and required to file with		
13	this court and serve upon			
14	Allan B. Gelbard, Esq.			
15	Plaintiff's attorney, whose address is:			
16		a Blvd., Suite 801		
17		alifornia 91436 86-9289 - E-Mail: XXXEsq@aol.com		
18				
19	an answer to the <u>Complaint</u> which is he of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day	rewith served upon you within 21 days after service of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default		
	will be taken against you for the relief demand	ed in the complaint.		
20				
21	DATE: 19 101	A DECEMBER OF THE PARTY OF THE		
22	C	LERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
23	B	byMARILYN #AVIS \$ } } &		
24		Deputy Clear		
25		(Seal of the Court)		
26				
27	SUN	AMONS		
28	······································			

Case 2:13-cv-01194-CBM-SP Document 1 Filed 02/19/13 Page 21 of 22 Page ID #:28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) THE STOCKROOM. INC., a California corporation				DEFENDANTS VIOLETRCOM, a business entity of unknown form; THE VIOLET WAND STORE, a business entity of unknown form; VIOLETWANDS.COM, a business entity of unknown form; and DOES 1-10, INCLUSIVE									
(b) 2 3	Attorneys (Firm Name, At yourself, provide same.) Allan B. Gelbard, Esq. 15760 Ventura Blvd., Sui (818)386-9200		лd Telephone Number. If Encino, CA 91436	you are	representing	Attorneys	(If Known)						
II. BA	SIS OF JURISDICTIO	N (Place	e an X in one box only.)				RINCIPAL PA		For Diversity Case lefendant.)	s Only			
C I U.	S. Government Plaintiff	813	Federal Question (U.S. Government Nol a Party	r)	Citizen of This St	ate		TF DÆF II □!	Incorporated or l of Business in th				DEF 04
□2U.	S. Government Defendan	t ⊡4	Diversity (Indicate Citiz of Parties in Item III)	enship	Citizen of Anothe	r State	C	12 🗆 2	Incorporated and of Business in A] 5	C S
Web-amp.p			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Citizen or Subject	of a Fore	ign Country D	13 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		L	16 1	口6
⊠r Or Pr	roceeding State Co	d from ourt	Ci 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	Re	opened				Dist		7 Appeal Judge f Magist	from	
	QUESTED IN COMPL. 5 ACTION under F.R.C.		JURY DEMAND: DY	í¢s LJ			_		AINT: <u>\$ 1,000,00</u>	0			
Ti VII. N		ition (1	S. Civil Statute under white 5 USC 1115, 1116, 1117, In one box only.) CONTRACT		TORIS		TORTS		PRISONER		LABOF		
	NUM 12 1011	0110	Insurance	1	SONAL INJURY	- M	ERSONAL		PETITIONS	□710 F	air Labor	Stand	iards
	A DECEMBER OF		Marine Miller Act		Airplane Airplane Product	1.000	ROPERTY Other Fraud	□ 510	Motions to Vacate Sentence	⊡ 720 1	Act abor/Mari	mt	
□ 450 □ 460 □ 470 □ 480 □ 490 □ 810 □ 850 □ 875 □ 890 □ 891 □ 892 □ 893 □ 893 □ 893	Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. Deportation Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Consumer Credit Cable/Sat TV Selective Service Selective Service Securities/Commodities/ Exchange Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 Other Statutory Actions Agricoltural Act Economic Stabilization Act Environmental Matters Energy Allocation Act Freedom of Info. Act	□ 140 □ 150 □ 151 □ 152 □ 153 □ 160 □ 190 □ 195 □ 196 □ 196 □ 210 □ 220	Negotiable Instrument Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) Recovery of Overpayment of Overpayment of Veteran's Beuefits Stockholders' Suits	□ 330 □ 340 □ 345 □ 355 □ 360 □ 362 □ 365 □ 368 □ 368	Liability Assault, Libel & Slander Fed. Employers' Liability Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Product Liability Other Personal Injury Personal Injury- Med Malpractice Personal Injury- Product Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability MIGRATION Naturalization	□ 380 □ 385 □ 422 □ 423 □ 423 □ 423 □ 441 □ 442 □ 443 □ 444 □ 445	Truth in Lendi Other Personal Property Dama Product Liabili NKRUPTCY Appeal 28 USC 158 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 VIL RIGHTS Voting Employment Housing/Acco- mmodations Welfare American with Disabilities - Employment American with	□ 530 gc □ 535 ge □ 540 ty □ 550 □ 0 555 □ 0 625 □ 620 □ 630 □ 640 □ 650	Habeas Corpus General Death Penalty Mandamus/ Other Civil Rights Prison Condition	□ 730 L □ 740 F □ 740 F □ 740 F □ 790 C 1 791 E PROI □ 820 C □ 830 P 1 820 C □ 830 F □ 864 S □ 863 L □ 865 F FEDE	Relatious abor/Mgl Reporting Disclosure tailway L Dther Labo Jiligation impl. Ret. impl. Ret. impl. Ret. PERTY R Copyright 'atent 'radomark atent 'radomark atent 'radomark AL SEC HIA (1395 Black Lon DIWC/DIV 405(g)) SID Title SI (405(g RAL TAJ	nt. & Act abor A Inc. ct IGHT s : URITY ff) g (9233 WW 2 (9233 WW 2 (9233 S URITY S (9233 S (9233 S (9233 S (9233 S (9233 S (9233 S (9233 S (923) S (93) S (923) S (93) S (93) S (93) S (93) S	rs y 3)
	Appeal of Fee Determi- nation Under Equal Access to Justice Constitutionality of State Statutes	□ 240 □ 245	Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land Tort Product Liability All Other Real Property	□ 463	Application Habcas Corpus- Alien Detaince Other Immigration Actions		Other Other Civil Rights	□ 690	Other	□ 870 1 o □ 871 1	laxes (U.S r Defenda	S, Plai int) Party	intiff

CV13-01194

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

Case 2:13-cv-01194-CBM-SP Document 1 Filed 02/19/13 Page 22 of 22 Page ID #:29 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? 🗹 No 🗆 Yes If yes, list case number(s):

VIH(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? MNo 🗋 Yes If yes, list case number(s);

Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:

(Check all boxes that apply) 🛛 🖾 A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or

- 🗆 B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or
- C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or
- D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present,

IX. VENUE: (When completing the following information, use an additional sheet if necessary.)

Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved.

(a) List the County in this District, California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.

Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).

	County in this District:*	California County outside of this District: State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
1	Los Angeles, CA	

(b) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.
 Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).

County in this District:*	California Connty outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
Houston, TX	

(c) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.

County in this District:*	California County outside of this District, State, if other than California; or Foreign Coontry
Los Augeles, CA	

* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barhara, or San Luis Obispo Counties Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved

		·		
	and a state of the second		Prote 02/18/2012	
X. SIONATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER	3): 2		Date UZ/10/2012	
	Sur			

Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judielal Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amonded. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended, plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C, 405(g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))