OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Date:

August 15, 2012

CAO File No.

0220-04709-0002

Council File No. 12-1300-S1

Council District: All

To:

The Council

The Mayor

From:

Miguel A. Santana, City Administrative Officer Mugul a St

Council Request (C.F. 12-1300-S1) to Convene a Working Group to Study Ordinance Reference:

No. 181989, the City of Los Angeles Safer Sex in the Adult Film Industry Act

Subject: REPORT BACK ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SAFER SEX IN THE ADULT

FILM INDUSTRY ORDINANCE

SUMMARY

On January 10, 2012, Council directed the City Administrative Officer (CAO) through Amending Motion 22-C (Wesson - Koretz, C.F. 12-1300-S1) to convene a Working Group with the participation of the Personnel Department, the City Attorney, the Police Department (LAPD), the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Cal-OSHA), the California Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board, and other relevant stakeholders invited at the discretion of the CAO, to study Ordinance No. 181989, the City of Los Angeles Safer Sex in the Adult Film Industry Act (Ordinance), to require condom usage in the Adult Film Industry (AFI) and make recommendations regarding amendment of the Ordinance necessary to further its purposes, as well as implementation matters. The Ordinance was adopted by Council on January 17, 2012. This report represents the Working Group's discussions and recommendations for implementation of the Ordinance. The recommendations seek to provide a feasible implementation plan that is respectful of State law and proactive in achieving the goal of the Ordinance to maintain safer working conditions in AFI.

BACKGROUND

The Ordinance was created as a result of the Initiative Petition process. On August 31, 2011, five registered voters of the City submitted a proposed Initiative Petition for circulation to the City Clerk's Office. If adopted, the petition would require the City to adopt an Ordinance or submit to the voters a prospective Ordinance requiring any film permit issued under the authority of the City for commercial production of an adult film be conditioned on the usage of condoms in the making of the films. The initiative would also require the City to charge permit applicants a fee to pay for periodic inspections of AFI locations/working conditions. On December 5, 2011, the proponents of this Adult Film Workplace Safety Condom Initiative submitted 70,901 signatures to the City Clerk to place the initiative on the June 2012 ballot. The City Clerk examined the signatures and determined that the Initiative Petition was sufficient.

On December 8, 2011, the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office filed a complaint seeking declaratory relief from the courts as to whether the Adult Film Workplace Safety Condom Initiative was preempted by State law. The parties subsequently entered into a Settlement Agreement in which, in exchange for a dismissal of the declaratory relief action, Council would adopt the proposed initiative, pursuant to Los Angeles City Election Code Section 700. On January 17, 2012, City Council adopted the Ordinance. The Council then directed the CAO to form a Working Group to study the Ordinance to require condom usage in the AFI and make recommendations regarding amendment of the Ordinance necessary to further its purposes, as well as implementation matters. The Settlement Agreement between the City and the Aids Healthcare Foundation mandated that the Working Group report back to Council within 120 days.

On May 16, 2012, the CAO, on behalf of the Working Group, requested a 90-day extension to report back on the implementation of the Safer Sex Ordinance. The additional time was requested due to the complexities of this issue and the need to obtain more information to implement the Ordinance. On June 6, 2012, Council authorized the 90-day extension, which the parties in the Settlement Agreement accepted.

The Working Group identified many options for Council consideration regarding how to implement the Ordinance. The background for the recommendations below and other options is discussed in the Findings section of this report. On July 24, 2012, the County Board of Supervisors took an action to include a Safer Sex in the Adult Film Industry ballot initiative in the November 6, 2012 State General Election. Consequently, the Working Group recommends that the Council direct the CAO to continue to work with the County to develop a long-term mechanism for enforcement pending the outcome of the ballot initiative. However, the City has an obligation to implement the Ordinance as approved by your Council while exploring a partnership with the County.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Mayor and Council adopt the following actions:

1. Revise the Film Permit Application

Create a new section on the FilmL.A., Inc. Permit Application Request that seeks information about various filming activities that involve dangerous special effects or hazardous conditions, including the current categories relating to Gun Fire, Special Effects (breaking glass, explosions, fire), and add a section entitled, "Activities Carrying Risk of Transmission of Blood or Infectious Materials Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 5193(b)," which include a "check box" to reflect the exact language in the Ordinance which specifies whether or not production employees will be filmed engaging in non-simulated sexual intercourse, defined as vaginal or anal penetration by a penis.

2. Require Licensed Medical Inspections

Issue a Request for Proposals (RFP) seeking to contract with a licensed medical professional to conduct the periodic inspections of film productions involving "Activities Carrying Risk of Transmission of Blood or Infectious Materials." If this recommendation is adopted, more information would need to be gathered to determine City enforcement parameters and the CAO should be directed to report back to the Mayor and Council within 90 days with a draft RFP for further action.

3. Contract with the Los Angeles County Department of Public Health

If voters in Los Angeles County approve in the Statewide General Election scheduled for November 6, 2012, the measure (County Measure) to require adult film producers to obtain a health permit as a condition of producing a film that involves non-simulated sexual intercourse, then the City should adopt the County Measure and put a measure on the Citywide primary election in March of 2013 to reconcile the Ordinance in LAMC Section 12.22.1 with the County Measure to rely exclusively on the County health permit requirements and inspections to ensure the safety of performers in the AFI from the risk of transmission of bloodborne pathogens. If this recommendation is adopted, the City Attorney should be requested to report back with an amending Ordinance to reconcile LAMC Section 12.22.1 with the County Measure.

4. Develop a Fee Structure

Direct the CAO to develop a fee structure to contract for the services identified in Recommendations 2 and/or 3 above, and direct the CAO to report back to the Mayor and Council within 90 days with a draft fee proposal for adult film inspections.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

The impact to the General Fund is unknown at this time. Based on which recommendations the Council adopts, the Office of the City Administrative Officer, with assistance from the City Attorney and other departments, would report back to the Mayor and Council regarding the costs to the City and potential funding sources. Compliance with City Financial Policies would be reviewed once the source of funds is determined.

1. Basis for Report

On January 10, 2012, Council directed the City Administrative Officer (CAO) through Amending Motion 22-C (Wesson – Koretz, C.F. 12-1300-S1) to convene a Working Group with the participation of the Personnel Department, the City Attorney, the Police Department (LAPD), the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Cal-OSHA), the California Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board, and other relevant stakeholders invited at the discretion of the CAO, to study the Ordinance to require condom usage in the Adult Film Industry (AFI) and make recommendations regarding amendment of the Ordinance necessary to further the Ordinance's purpose, as well as implementation matters. This Office was instructed to report on the Working Group Findings.

2. Federal Regulations

The U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) issued its final regulation on occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens (Section 29 CFR 1910.1030), on December 6, 1991, which was the basis for California Section 5193. The Federal standard requires employers to develop an exposure control plan, to utilize engineering and work practice controls to minimize or eliminate exposures, to provide and ensure that employees use personal protective equipment where hazards remain, and to provide training and medical services to employees who have occupational exposure. For example, although Hepatitis C is considered a bloodborne pathogen in both the Federal and State of California standards, the Federal standard does not specifically require the testing of the source individual for Hepatitis C after an exposure incident. The Federal regulation does not contain the specific requirement for employee participation in the review and updating of the plan. In addition, there are some differences between the Federal and State standards regarding engineered sharps injury protection.

OSHA defines blood to mean human blood, human blood components, and products made from human blood. Other potentially infectious materials (OPIM) means:

- Human body fluids including semen, vaginal secretions, cerebrospinal fluid, synovial fluid, pleural fluid, pericardial fluid, peritoneal fluid, amniotic fluid, saliva in dental procedures, any body fluid that is visibly contaminated with blood, and all body fluids in situations where it is difficult or impossible to differentiate between body fluids;
- Any unfixed tissue or organ (other than intact skin) from a human (living or dead); and,
- Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) containing cell or tissue cultures, organ cultures, and HIV or Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) containing culture medium or other solutions; and blood, organs, or other tissues from experimental animals infected with HIV or HBV.

3. State Regulations

Cal-OSHA protects workers and the public from safety hazards through its Occupational Safety and Health program. The California Code of Regulations (CCR), existing Title 8 Regulations of General

5

Industry Safety Orders, Section 5193, Bloodborne Pathogens/Sharps Injury Prevention, became operative on January 11, 1993. Cal-OSHA specifically names semen and vaginal secretions as OPIMs. This section applies wherever there is occupational exposure, which is defined as "reasonably anticipated skin, eye, mucous membrane, or parenteral contact with blood or other potentially infectious materials that may result from the performance of an employee's duties."

The Cal-OSHA CCR, Title 8 Regulations, Section 5193, requires employers to:

- Establish, implement and maintain an effective written exposure control plan;
- Eliminate or minimize occupational exposure to blood or OPIM through the use of engineering and work practice controls;
- Provide and enforce the use of personal protective equipment where exposure remains after the institution of engineering and work practice controls;
- Provide medical services, including post-exposure evaluation and follow-up and provision of Hepatitis B vaccinations;
- Provide initial and annual training to employees;
- Keep and make available certain exposure and medical records, in accordance with this section and Section 3201; and,
- Review the exposure control plan at least annually, and have an effective procedure for involving employees in the evaluation of the plan;

The Cal-OSHA CCR, Title 8 Regulations, Section 3203, Injury and Illness Prevention Plan, requires employers to identify and evaluate occupational safety and health hazards and to correct hazards in a timely manner. It also requires employers to communicate with employees about occupational safety and health matters, investigate occupational injuries and illnesses, and train employees and supervisors. Other standards, such as Section 3204, Access to Employee Exposure and Medical Records, also apply in this industry.

The Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Division) is responsible for enforcing Section 5193. Prior to 2004, the Division had little experience with the AFI. After an outbreak of HIV related to the production of a video, the Division began inspecting AFI film sites, issuing citations, and negotiating abatement agreements. The Division has also responded to complaints and inquiries regarding bloodborne pathogens and other workplace hazards in the AFI. To assist AFI employees and employers, the Division created a website that explains the requirements of Cal-OSHA regulations specific to adult entertainment worksites. In addition, Cal-OSHA and the Division set up a hotline to answer questions and complaints from people in the industry. See Attachment A for the hotline contact information.

Enforcement of Section 5193 for the AFI has proved to be a challenge for Cal-OSHA. In some cases, it was not possible to identify the employers or the employees. In other cases, the investigators could not establish that the films were recorded in California; therefore, the State safety regulations would not apply. Producers who want to comply with the regulations have told the Division that the date tracking requirements do not apply to the AFI. Due to high turnover, it is difficult to train employees adequately. As a result of these enforcement issues, the State created an Advisory Committee to

6

PAGE

update the language of Section 5193 to meet the needs of the AFI. The last Advisory Committee meeting was held in June 2011. No changes in the regulations have been made yet.

4. County Regulations

On September 17, 2009, the County of Los Angeles, Department of Public Health (DPH) sent a letter to the County Board of Supervisors (Attachment B) addressing sexually transmitted diseases related to the AFI. The DPH reported that they had, in conjunction with County Counsel, explored expanded local regulatory strategies. However, DHP added that these methods had substantial implementation and enforcement challenges, were likely to be only partially effective because the industry extends beyond the reach of Los Angeles County, and may possibly be subject to legal challenge. Consequently, DPH recommended State legislation to increase surveillance of occupational exposures, enhanced penalties and enforcement of condom use in the AFI.

Since 2003, DPH has monitored the AFI by: 1) working with health-related organizations associated with the industry to enhance education and outreach in the AFI to prevent HIV and other Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STDs); 2) collaborating with Cal-OSHA to develop guidelines to reduce disease exposure in the AFI and request workplace investigations; and 3) working with County Counsel, the County Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and County legislative advocates to support State legislation to implement regulations to ensure protection of workers in this industry.

In addition to its role in surveillance, DPH has taken several actions to address these public health issues in the AFI including:

- Worked with Cal-OSHA to develop a model Exposure Control Plan applicable to this industry based on existing standards in Title 8, CCR, specifically including the Injury and Illness Prevention Program standard (Section 3203), and the Bloodborne Pathogens standard (Section 5193);
- Following developments of the model Exposure Control Plan, initiated discussion with the State Labor and Workforce Development Agency to develop educational outreach plans and materials for both producers and performers;
- Secured technical assistance in May 2004 from the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), to investigate workplace hazards in this industry, and issue recommendations;
- Testified in June 2004 before a California State Assembly Committee in support of legislation to regulate the AFI to: 1) require condom use for all high risk sexual encounters; 2) have screening requirements for STDs set by the State with screening costs paid by the industry, and offer vaccinations for appropriate preventable conditions; 3) mandate education and training of all AFI performers; and 4) assure monitoring to ensure compliance by State and local health departments paid for by the AFI;
- Conducted periodic dialogue with producers and performers in both straight and gay male roles of the industry, as well as with other relevant agencies, including the California Department of Health Services, STD Control Program and the State Office of AIDS to understand better the health and safety issues in the AFI, and develop appropriate screening recommendations and interventions. During these meetings, DPH has consistently asserted

that it is the responsibility of the AFI to require male performers to wear condoms to minimize risk of preventable serious illness;

- Requested Cal-OSHA to conduct investigations of recent incidents of presumed workplace infection with STDs and HIV. Between April 2004 and June 2009, nine requests were made to Cal-OSHA for investigations of presumed workplace STD infections. Although two of these cases are pending, the completed investigations resulted in ten violations;
- Initiated investigations, as needed, seeking to determine the extent of potential exposure and actual disease transmission; and,
- Offered additional HIV and STD testing services to performers, provided counseling and medical referrals for those performers who were infected with HIV, and offered partner contact and referral services to their private sex partners.

The County of Los Angeles has held a consistent position that screening alone is insufficient to prevent STDs and HIV/AIDS. Screening can only detect infection, and while it is vital for containing new or existing infections, there are other measures that should be employed in the AFI such as condom use and Hepatitis B vaccinations.

The County was approached by the Aids Healthcare Foundation to enforce condom use in the AFI. Initially, the County Board of Supervisors declined consideration of a Countywide proposal to require condom usage, but a group of proponents pursued a ballot initiative to require the County to enforce AFI performers to wear condoms on the job. At the July 24, 2012 County Board of Supervisor's meeting, the members voted to place the initiative on the County November 2012 ballot. If approved by voters, the measure would require the County to create and administer a new permitting and enforcement organization. The DPH would be able to conduct random spot checks and, if necessary, revoke film permits. Violators could be fined and/or charged with misdemeanors.

Currently, the County does not employ or license anyone to inspect performers. More information is needed about the feasibility of hiring or contracting for these services.

5. City Regulations

Filming permits issued by the City are for temporary use of public property authorized under Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) Section 12.22A. The current language on the reverse side of the filming permit issued by the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD), which constitutes a condition of the permit, states that the:

Permittee agrees to comply with all applicable federal, state and local laws, regulations, ordinances and rules, including all applicable federal and state requirements for workers' compensation insurance for all persons operating under this permit as well as all applicable regulatory, environmental, safety and other standards, including standards of care in carrying out the activities that are the subject of this permit (the Permit Activities).

The LAMC Section 12.22A also provides an authorized representative of the Permit Authority including the LAPD and other City departments to suspend, revoke, cancel or amend film permits if the activities under the permit endanger health or safety, may cause damage to real or personal

8

property, or violate the terms and conditions of the permit. In addition, the following language has been placed on film permits where the applicant has indicated that nudity will be filmed and/or where the producer is a recognized adult film producer:

Permittee must abide by all applicable workplace health and safety regulations, including California Code of Regulations Title 8, Section 5193, which mandates barrier protection, including condoms, to shield performers from contact with blood or other potentially infectious material during the production of films.

In the City of Los Angeles, complaints about location filming are managed by FilmL.A., Inc. and typically fall into the following three categories:

- When FilmL.A., Inc. receives a complaint regarding the violation of a rule, regulation or law that is within the City's jurisdiction, it is referred to the appropriate agency. For example, a complaint about a generator creating fumes will be referred to the State Air Quality Management Division which has responsibility and authority for enforcement of the regulation. Although FilmL.A., Inc. may inform the production company that a complaint was received, it does not impact the filming activity or cause revocation of the permit.
- If a City ordinance violation is alleged that is not related to the film permit activity, FilmL.A., Inc. consults with the LAPD and sometimes the City Attorney about whether or not to refer the complaint to the appropriate City agency. For example, a complaint may be received that a house is being used for many commercial activities that includes permitted filming, rental as a party house, and other activities. The LAPD and the Department of Building and Safety may investigate the complaint and the results of that investigation may have an effect on the issuance of future film permits at the property.
- Finally, if specific conditions of the film permit are violated, such as staying beyond permit hours, conducting activity not on the permit, violating attached Special Conditions, FilmL.A., Inc. and the LAPD may seek correction, if possible, or the LAPD may shut down the production by revoking the permit. Further action could take place if violations of criminal statutes or ordinances are also taking place.

Enforcement of City permit regulations is handled primarily by the LAPD and the Los Angeles Fire Department (LAFD) with assistance from other City departments.

6. Working Group Discussions

The Working Group has held four meetings on March 2, April 18, May 11, and August 7, 2012 at the CAO where the Working Group reviewed the City's ability to implement and enforce the Ordinance. The members of the Working Group discussed reasons why City departments do not enforce health or workplace safety standards including lack of jurisdiction, resources and technical qualifications.

According to the LAFD, fire inspections for compliance with City regulations can vary from no general oversight, to spot checks, to full-time inspection. The level of inspection depends on the applicable regulation governing the activity. The LAFD currently does not conduct inspections similar to those described in the Ordinance.

The LAPD does not enforce or inspect any health or workplace related standards. There are currently no inspection protocols within the LAPD that approach the level of enforcement contemplated in the Ordinance.

The Personnel Department does not have jurisdiction regarding enforcement of workplace safety standards outside of City departments. The Personnel Department indicated that they work closely with Cal-OSHA, and that Cal-OSHA is responsible for protecting workers and the public from safety hazards in the workplace.

The City has a contract with FilmL.A., Inc. to process film permits issued by the LAPD. FilmL.A., Inc. employees are not trained for and do not enforce safety standards or conduct inspections. They are not authorized to perform any type of enforcement or regulatory functions.

The City of Los Angeles does not have a public health office or officer, and has delegated those functions to the Los Angeles County (LAMC sections 11.01(a) and 31.00 *et seq.*). At the Working Group meetings, the DPH indicated that they track communicable disease mitigation, such as preventing the spread of HIV and STDs in bathhouses and sex clubs. Owners of these businesses pay a business license fee; the businesses are inspected once a year; and owners pay for HIV testing. These inspections do not necessarily represent enforcement functions.

During the Working Group meetings, Cal-OSHA representatives indicated that they do not have a monitoring role in enforcing workplace safety. They operate on a complaint-based system and acknowledge that their agency has jurisdiction over all employee/employer relationships regarding workplace safety in the State of California. They have also cited film productions for violating State workplace safety regulations since 2004, including failures to require employees exposed to bloodborne pathogens to wear barrier protection. Cal-OSHA has further indicated that while their citations of adult film production violations have all been settled at the hearing stage, courts have found in a workers' compensation setting that adult film performers are employees and not independent contractors.

In addition to analyzing departmental roles and responsibilities, the Working Group also identified several potential actions that could improve observance of the Ordinance, most of which are included in the Recommendations section of this report. Other options could include the following:

- FilmL.A., Inc. could host or organize a free one-time seminar for adult film producers and invite Cal-OSHA, the City Attorney, LAPD, or other entities to present and educate the AFI about the obligation to inform their employees of their rights to wear barriers, along with where to file grievances using standardized fliers; or,
- In the event the City or FilmL.A., Inc. receives complaints from AFI employees, those calls would be referred to Cal-OSHA for review and callers would also be provided with the hotline contact information.
- Require, as part of the FilmL.A., Inc. Permit Application Request, that film productions involving "Activities Carrying Risk of Transmission of Blood or Infectious Materials" employ an on-set, licensed medical professional monitor who will file with the City of Los Angeles a post-production certificate declaring under penalty of perjury that the film producers complied with

- Los Angeles Municipal Code Section 12.22.1 in the production of the film. More information is needed about this option to determine City enforcement parameters.
- If voters in Los Angeles County approve the County Measure on November 6, 2012 to require adult film producers to obtain a health permit as a condition of producing a film that involves non-simulated sexual intercourse, the City could adopt the County Measure and pursue a contract with the County to conduct the periodic film inspections required by the Ordinance in LAMC 12.22.1. Further, if the measure passes, the City, through FilmL.A., Inc., could confirm whether or not the companies applying have the required health permit and deny the film permit if they do not have the health permit.

7. City Ordinance Implementation

At the March 2, 2012 Working Group meeting, Cal-OSHA acknowledged that the regulation of workplace safety is a matter of State concern, at least where there is an employee/employer relationship. State law exclusively governs employee workplace safety in this area and expressly preempts local government from adopting and enforcing regulations on the transmission of bloodborne pathogens.

However, since Council adopted the Safer Sex Ordinance, the Working Group has sought to provide a feasible implementation plan that is respectful of State law but also proactive in achieving the goal of this Ordinance to maintain a safer working place in the AFI where condoms are used to mitigate the potential spread of HIV/AIDS and other sexually transmitted diseases and infections. These options are included in the Recommendations section of this report.

Adult Film Industry Fees

The Working Group discussed how fees might be applied in the AFI and noted that there are many issues associated with implementing such a fee. For example, applying a fee to all permits would unfairly target all films while the adult films represent a small percent of the current work. The City cannot charge a fee to non-adult filmmakers because they would be paying for a service that is not provided to them.

The current system does not provide an adequate way to identify adult film permit applicants. Filmmakers are not required to provide information about the content of their films. Currently FilmL.A., Inc. has a miscellaneous category for which filmmakers provide information voluntarily. If the few AFI companies that are willing to report the content of their films become aware that there is a fee associated with reporting adult films, they may choose to discontinue identifying the content of their films. Charging the small number choose to apply for permits may not generate enough revenue to enforce the remaining companies who currently do not apply for permits. The City's fiscal constraints make it especially difficult when evaluating enforcement methods since personnel costs can easily exceed the fiscal resources that would ever become available. Further, the AFI may be unfairly targeted based on their activities.

The City has also taken considerable steps to promote filming in the City of Los Angeles and to alleviate runaway productions. It is possible that a new fee may be construed as not being film friendly.

Los Angeles County Department of Public Health Fee Estimate

At the Board of Supervisor's meeting held on July 24, 2012, the County Director and Health Officer presented the Board of Supervisors with a report including a preliminary implementation approach, Challenges to Compliance, a preliminary cost analysis, and performer complaints (Attachment C). According to the County Department of Public Health, the estimate includes the establishment of an Adult Film Public Health Permit Office, which would require approximately \$582,932 for a two-year permit as proposed by the County Ordinance. This cost does not include potential additional costs associated with confiscation, law enforcement involvement, and administrative and appeal proceedings. The report indicated that if ten public health permits were issued, the two-year cost would translate into a fee of \$58,294 per permit. Alternatively, based on the County's preliminary analysis, if 50 permits were issued, the permit fee would be \$11,658 per permit, prior to the addition of costs associated with confiscation, law enforcement involvement, and administrative and appeal proceedings. Once all of the costs are identified, the County anticipates that the fee would be significantly larger.

Los Angeles Fire Department Fee Estimate

Although the LAFD has a Film Inspection service, it is currently strictly related to fire prevention. The LAFD film Inspectors enforce the Fire and Life Safety Ordinances located in the Los Angeles Fire Code, Article 7 of Chapter V of the LAMC. All filming locations are currently either assigned a full-time Fire Safety Officer or a Spot Check Officer.

The Ordinance states that the City may conduct periodic inspections to ensure compliance with the conditions set forth in Section 12.22.1(B)(4) of the LAMC. In addition, fees may be collected by the City to provide for inspectors to ensure compliance with conditions on film permits. Though the LAFD does not have a public health inspector service, the Department provided preliminary cost estimates for periodic or spot check inspections of adult films, but indicated that to implement enforcement of the Ordinance, the Department must first address several significant issues including proposed changes in working conditions with labor representatives, implementation of a Fire Safety Officer inspection program for all filming, and the addition of staff.

Depending on the on the frequency of the spot checks and the number of permits and film shoots, the cost could range from to \$3,472 per permit for 480 permits to \$2,204 per permit for up to 10,000 permits. The number of LAFD staff required could reach 102 full-time sworn positions and 18 full-time civilian positions. The total cost could range from \$1.7 to \$23 million annually as presented in the draft budget request/reduction package (Attachment D). These estimates do not include costs associated with confiscation, law enforcement involvement, and administrative and appeal proceedings.

If the LAFD were required to provide periodic or spot check inspections for adult films, the City would also need to develop an enforcement process and associated costs, since the LAFD does not have enforcement authority under the Ordinance. Furthermore, it would be prudent to analyze the value and effectiveness of spot checking versus 100 percent implementation for inspections. Additional items to be considered would include program implementation, funding, staffing, and full cost recovery of direct and indirect costs. For implementation of spot checks and the corresponding fees, the CAO would need to work with the LAFD to develop a complete proposal.

9. Film Permits Issued in the City of Los Angeles

FilmL.A., Inc. coordinates the on-location film permits for the City of Los Angeles, parts of Los Angeles County, several area school districts and the Angeles National Forest. In 2011, FilmL.A., Inc. issued 22,864 film permits categorized as follows:

2.484 Feature films

2,220 Drama films

3,567 Commercials

3,685 Reality shows

562 Sitcoms

563 Pilots

480 Adult films

9,363 Miscellaneous films

22.684 Total

FilmL.A., Inc. states that the 9,363 miscellaneous permits may have included commercials, student films, still photos, webisodes, music videos, documentaries, talk shows and infomercials, among others. It is possible that many films are made in Los Angeles without permits, including some in the adult film category. Therefore, the range used by the LAFD to calculate potential spot check inspection costs includes 480 permits at the low end, which represents the actual number of permits issued in 2011, up to 10,000 permits at the high end, which is an estimate that would need to be evaluated as part of the analysis of the proposed inspection costs.

bv:

Eva Bitar

Senior Administrative Analyst II

APPROVED:

Assistant City Administrative Officer

MAS:EB:02120173C

Attachments

ATTACHMENT - A

Division of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH)

VITAL INFORMATION FOR WORKERS AND EMPLOYERS IN THE ADULT FILM INDUSTRY

A recent cluster of HIV infections in the adult film industry in Southern California has drawn attention to <u>health hazards</u> in these work places.

Workers in this industry need to know there are laws written to protect them from injury and illness on the job, and where to go for help if their employer doesn't follow those laws. Employers in the adult film industry must know how to protect their employees from health and safety hazards and understand the consequences of failing to comply with state regulations.

Click here for information on how to file a complaint with Cal-OSHA if you work in the adult film industry.

The <u>California Occupational Safety and Health Act</u> requires employers to provide a safe and healthful workplace for employees, and pay the costs of their health and safety program. This same act gives Cal-OSHA jurisdiction over virtually all private employers in California, including employers in the adult film industry. Employers must comply with all relevant regulations, which are contained in Title 8 of the <u>California Code of Regulations</u>.

In the adult film industry, these requirements include:

- Following a written safety and health program, known as an <u>injury and illness prevention program</u>, or IIPP. In simple terms, an IIPP identifies potential hazards specific to the workplace and ways to protect workers from those hazards. <u>Click here</u> to view Cal-OSHA's model IIPP for employers with intermittent workers
- Training employees in health and safety hazards
- Protecting employees from electrical hazards, such as those associated with special lighting
- Protecting employees from hazards associated with bloodborne pathogens
- Providing sanitation facilities
- Not discriminating against employees who complain about safety and health conditions.

Health hazards in the adult film industry

In addition to general health and safety hazards associated with film and video production, workers in the adult film industry face particular hazards because actors perform sex acts in the course of making the films or videos. Many diseases can be transmitted through blood, semen, vaginal fluid and fecal material, or by mucous membrane contact.

One important group of diseases is those caused by <u>bloodborne pathogens</u>, including HIV, hepatitis B and hepatitis C. In addition to actors, employees in this industry at risk of becoming infected include people who clean up after scenes and people who assist in developing scenes, whether or not they are shown on film. If any sharps, such as razor blades or wires, are used (for shaving, piercing, etc.), they pose a particular risk for spreading infection because they can puncture the skin.

Other sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) are not considered bloodborne pathogens, but can be transmitted through contact with mucous membranes, semen, vaginal fluids or feces.

Bloodborne pathogens

The Cal-OSHA <u>bloodborne pathogens standard</u> requires employers to protect workers from serious diseases including HIV, hepatitis B and hepatitis C, which can be transmitted through exposure to blood and other potentially infectious materials. The major requirements of this standard include:

- Controlling exposures
- Personal protective equipment
- Hepatitis B vaccine
- Confidential medical record
- Procedures for exposure incidents
- Training

Controlling exposures

The <u>bloodborne pathogens standard</u> requires employers to use feasible **engineering and work practice controls** to protect workers from coming into contact with blood or other disease-carrying body fluids (referred to in the standard as "other potentially infectious material", or "<u>OPIM</u>"). Semen and vaginal fluid are always considered OPIM. Any other body fluid is considered OPIM if it's visibly contaminated with blood. Saliva is considered OPIM in connection with dental procedures because these procedures routinely cause saliva to be contaminated with blood.

The kind of contact prohibited by the standard is contact between skin or mucous membranes and blood or OPIM.

The methods an employer will use to protect employees from contact with blood or OPIM must be spelled out in detail in a written exposure control plan, which is described in the bloodborne pathogens standard.

The bloodborne pathogens standard is built on the rule of universal precautions. This means blood or OPIM is always treated as hazardous, no matter who the source is. This is important because the available testing methods do not always guarantee that disease will be detected. This is particularly true right after a person has become infected. Depending on the test and the disease, it may take anywhere from two weeks to six months to be able to detect an infection. There is also a risk of "false negative" results, particularly if tests have not been properly administered, or if specimens have not been properly stored. Also, many bloodborne diseases are not routinely tested for.

Examples of engineering and work practice controls used in the adult film industry include:

- Simulation of sex acts using acting, production and post-production techniques
- Ejaculation outside the partner's body
- Use of barriers, which protect the partner from contact with semen, vaginal fluids, mucous membranes, etc. Examples
 of barriers include condoms and dental dams (Condoms and dental dams can also be considered personal protective
 equipment for the partner who uses them)
- Plastic and other disposable materials to clean up sets
- Sharps containers for disposal of any blades, wires or broken glass.

Personal protective equipment

If, after using all practical engineering and work practice controls, workers are still exposed to hazards, employers must provide, and ensure employees use, appropriate personal protective equipment. Personal protective equipment can include:

activity blo

- Condoms
- Dental dams
- Gloves
- Eye protection.

To learn more about safer sex, click here

Note: Cal-OSHA regulations do not require these barriers or personal protective equipment to be visible in the final product, and producers are free to use production and post-production editing techniques to remove them from the image.

Hepatitis B vaccine

Employers in this industry must provide the hepatitis B vaccine series to employees who may be exposed to blood, semen, vaginal fluid or OPIM. This series consists of three shots, generally administered into the arm muscle, over a period of six months. About one or two months after the third shot, the healthcare provider will draw blood to ensure the employee has developed a strong enough immune response (antibody titer, which refers to the concentration of antibodies in the blood) to protect against infection. In some cases the healthcare provider will recommend an additional series of shots. To learn more about the hepatitis B vaccine, click here.

Confidential medical record

Every employer covered by this standard must ensure that a medical record is maintained for each employee, which must be kept confidential.

Procedures for exposure incidents

If an employee has unprotected contact with someone else's blood, semen, vaginal fluid, or OPIM, the employer must provide them with a <u>medical evaluation and follow up</u> [5193 (f)] at no cost to the employee. If the source individual consents, he or she can be tested, and the results can be disclosed to the exposed employee, but that employee must be informed of requirements to keep the person's identity and infection status confidential.

If there is reason to believe a person has been exposed to HIV, the doctor may recommend the person be put on drugs to prevent infection (post-exposure prophylaxis, or PEP), such as AZT_If there is reason to believe a person has been exposed to hepatitis B, and has not been completely vaccinated, the doctor may recommend hepatitis B immunoglobulin, and may start the vaccine series. There is currently no post-exposure treatment recommended for hepatitis C.

To read more about recommendations for PEP click here

Training

Employers must provide each employee with training about bloodborne pathogens, including how they can protect themselves against infection and what to do if they are <u>exposed</u>.

"Wir.

Other diseases

Many other diseases can be transmitted through sexual contact (sexually transmitted diseases, or STDs). Examples of STDs that are not considered bloodborne include:

- Human papilloma virus (warts)
- Herpes virus
- Bacterial vaginosis
- Chlamydia (may cause pelvic inflammatory disease)
- Gonorrhea
- Hepatitis A (oral contact with feces).

In general, the use of barriers such as condoms and dental dams and, when necessary, protection of the eyes, nose, and mouth, will help prevent these infections, as well as preventing infection with bloodborne pathogens. Employers are required to have written procedures for preventing disease transmission.

Employer/employee status

Currently, some workers in the adult film industry are paid as employees (they get a paycheck with taxes and other deductions) and some are paid as independent contractors (they get a 1099 at the end of the year). Even workers who are paid as independent contractors may be considered employees under the law. The <u>Division of Labor Standards Enforcement</u> (DLSE) provides <u>guidance for determining whether someone is an independent contractor</u>. Although determinations about whether a person is an employee or an independent contractor are made based on the circumstances of each case, an employer/employee relationship has been found in similar circumstances, including in the mainstream film industry and exotic dance establishments.

Where to go for help

Employees who believe their employer is not complying with the law can file a complaint with Cal-OSHA. The name of any person who submits a complaint to Cal-OSHA is kept confidential. To file a complaint related to hazards in the adult film industry, call (213) 237-9958.

Upon receiving a health or safety complaint, a Cal-OSHA inspector will visit the worksite or employer's office and investigate the working conditions. Citations may be issued, which include a requirement to fix the problem (abatement) and may also require the employer to pay a civil penalty. For **general** information on the Cal-OSHA enforcement program click here.

It is illegal for employers to retaliate or otherwise discriminate against workers who complain about unsafe working conditions. Employees who believe they've been discriminated against for complaining about an unsafe condition can <u>file a complaint</u> with DLSE.

Workers in the adult film industry can contact the Los Angeles County Department of Health Services STD branch for STD information, testing and treatment.

Ston

Employers can get free assistance in evaluating hazards and developing an appropriate health and safety program by contacting the <u>Cal-OSHA Consultation Service</u>. To request an on-site consultation visit, call (213) 237-9958.

Links:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

CDC "Exposure to Blood" publication

CDC publication on post-exposure treatment for occupational exposure to HIV, HBV, HCV

Hepatitis B vaccine (HBV) info sheet

Information on STDs from the CDC

Male latex condoms and sexually transmitted diseases

University of California at San Francisco (UCSF) Center for AIDS Prevention Studies HIV prevention fact sheets

UCSF publication on sex workers and HIV

Facts from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration about condoms and STDs



ATTACHMENT B



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Gioria Molina First Distric Mark Ridley-Thomas Second Distric Zev Yaroslavsky Third District Don Knabe Michael D. Antonovich Fifth District

JONATHAN E. FIELDING, M.D., M.P.H. Director and Health Officer

JONATHAN E. FREEDMAN Chief Deputy Director

313 North Figueroa Street, Room 708 Los Angeles, California 90012 TEL (213) 240-8156 • FAX (213) 481-2739

www.publichealth.lacounty.gov

September 17, 2009

TO:

Each Supervisor

FROM:

Jonathan E. Fielding, M.D., M.P.H. Jana than & Fielding

Director and Health Officer

SUBJECT:

ADULT FILM INDUSTRY

This is to provide you with an update on the Department of Public Health's (DPH) activities to investigate and address sexually transmitted disease related to the adult film industry (AFI). This is an update to our June 19, 2009 memo.

As outlined in this report, DPH has taken action to address sexually transmitted disease (STD) and HIV in the AFI, and continues to monitor and take action on AFI-related disease cases. DPH, in conjunction with County Counsel, has also explored expanded local regulatory strategies, however these methods have substantial implementation and enforcement challenges, are likely to be only partially effective because the industry extends beyond the reach of Los Angeles County, and may possibly be subject to legal challenge. Consequently, DPH continues to recommend State legislation to increase surveillance of occupational exposures, enhanced penalties and enforcement of condom use in the AFI.

Background

Working conditions in the AFI typically involve a worker having unprotected, prolonged and repeated sexual intercourse with multiple sexual partners over short periods of time, increasing the likelihood of transmission of sexually transmitted diseases including HIV. Since 2003, DPH has monitored the AFI by; working with health-related organizations associated with the industry to enhance education and outreach in the adult film industry to prevent HIV and other STDs; collaborating with Cal/OSHA to develop guidelines to reduce disease exposure in the AFI and request workplace investigations; and working with County Counsel, the CEO and County legislative advocates to support State legislation to implement regulations to ensure protection of workers in this industry.

STD and HIV in the Adult Film Industry

The Adult Industry Medical (AIM) Healthcare Foundation and Talent Testing Services are organizations which provide STD and HIV screening and limited medical care to individuals working in the AFI and are required by law to report cases of HIV and STDs to DPH.

Since 2004 DPH received reports of 2,396 cases of Chlamydia (CT), 1389 cases of gonorrhea (GC), and five syphilis cases among AFI performers; 20.2% of performers diagnosed with STD had one or more repeat infections within a one year period. Between 2004 and 2008, repeat infections were reported for 25.5% of individuals. Due to the failure to routinely screen for rectal and oralpharyngeal infections, a sustained high level of endemic disease among AFI workers persists. Furthermore, these disease rates and reinfection rates are likely to be significantly underestimated as rectal and oral screening is not done routinely and these anatomic sites are likely to be a reservoir for repeat reinfection.

Analyses of 2008 data also indicated that AFI performers experience significantly higher rates of infection (20%) than the general public (2.4%) or in the area of the County (SPA 6) experiencing the highest rates of STDs (4.5%).

Data is less clear for HIV since occupation is not reported in HIV/AIDS reports. Since 2004, AIM has reported 25 cases of HIV. However, it is difficult to confirm the number of actual performers infected with HIV/AIDS as not all those tested are current performers and may have other roles in the AFI, or are partners of an AFI performer, or may otherwise be referred to AIM for testing. AIM claims that a minority of the 25 cases are performers, but even if this is accurate, it is reasonable to assume that some of the remaining 25 infected individuals were tested because they wished to work in the AFI in Los Angeles or were partners of AFI performers.

DPH Activities in Addressing the STD and HIV in the AFI

In addition to its role in surveillance, DPH has taken several actions to address these public health issues in the AFI including:

- Worked with Cal/OSHA to develop a model Exposure Control Plan applicable to this industry based on existing standards, in Title 8, California Code of Regulations, specifically including the Injury and Illness Prevention Program standard (Section 3203), and the Bloodborne Pathogens standard (Section 5193).
- Following development of the model Exposure Control Plan, initiated discussion with the State Labor and Workforce Development Agency to develop educational outreach plans and materials for both producers and performers.
- In May 2004, secured technical assistance from the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), to investigate workplace hazards in this industry, and issue recommendations.

- In June 2004, testified before a California Assembly Committee in support of legislation to regulate the AFI to (1) require condom use for all high risk sexual encounters; (2) have screening requirements for STDs set by the state with screening costs paid by the industry, and offer vaccinations for appropriate preventable conditions; (3) mandate education and training of all adult film industry performers; and (4) assure monitoring to ensure compliance by state and local health departments paid for by the industry.
- Conducted periodic dialogue with producers and performers in both straight and gay male roles of
 the industry, as well as with other relevant agencies, including the California Department of Health
 Services, STD Control Program and the State Office of AIDS to better understand health and
 safety issues in this industry, and develop appropriate screening recommendations and
 interventions. During these meetings, DPH has consistently asserted that it is the responsibility of
 the industry to require male performers to wear condoms to minimize risk of preventable serious
 illness.
- Requested Cal/OSHA conduct investigations of recent incidents of presumed workplace infection
 with STDs and HIV. Between April 2004 and June 2009 nine requests were made to Cal/OSHA
 for investigations of presumed workplace STD infections. Although two of these cases are
 pending, the completed investigations resulted in ten violations.
- Initiated investigations, as needed, seeking to determine the extent of potential exposure and actual disease transmission.
- DPH offered additional HIV and STD testing services to performers, provided counseling and medical referrals for those performers who were infected with HIV, and offered partner contact and referral services to their private sex partners.

It has been the consistent position of the Department that screening alone is insufficient to prevent STDs and HIV/AIDS. Screening can only detect infection and while it is vital for containing new or existing infections, there are other preventive measures that should be employed in the AFI such as condom use and hepatitis B vaccination.

Additional Local Regulatory Measures to Address STD and HIV in the AFI

DPH has explored whether expanded local regulation of the AFI can be used to reduce exposure to STD and HIV. Our consultations with County Counsel have yielded the following approaches that could be used.

Health Officer Order: County Counsel has determined that existing health officer authority may be used to set health protection requirements on adult film production companies to protect performer health. In order to utilize health officer authority, a detailed written order would need to be served on all necessary parties outlining the nexus between the behavior and spread of disease within the industry.

Each Supervisor September 17, 2009 Page 4

DPH would then need to develop a mechanism to monitor compliance with the order, and enforcement of the order would require proof of service of the order on parties and evidence of an alleged violation. Violation of the order could be prosecuted by the District Attorney as a misdemeanor. A County health officer order would not apply to Long Beach and Pasadena, as they are separate public health jurisdictions.

<u>County Code</u>: County Counsel has also indicated that County Code could be amended to set requirements on the AFI. This approach could be similar to the County Code requirements on Commercial Sex Venues and require AFI production firms to secure a public health permit to operate.

The public health permit would be conditioned upon the entity's adherence to preventive measure requirements.

Similar to the health officer order approach, DPH would then need to develop a mechanism to monitor compliance with the order. Compliance time frames and penalties would need to be specified in the Code. This approach would be applicable in the unincorporated areas of the County and in those cities which adopt the County ordinance, and would not apply to Long Beach and Pasadena.

Challenges with Local Regulatory Approaches

Both the health officer order and the County Code amendment have inherent implementation challenges that would severely limit either approach in controlling STDs and HIV in the AFI. Under a health officer order approach the noticing requirements would be administratively challenging and resource intensive. There are an estimated 200 production companies in Los Angeles County, employing approximately 1,200 workers who engage in direct work-related sexual contact. Filming locations are difficult to ascertain, usually taking place at private homes or, to a lesser degree, in small film studios. Producers planning a film recruit performers independently or may use a talent agency to identify performers for their films. Performers are usually hired as independent contractors (not employees) for a specific film. Although there are regulations that require permits for filming, productions in homes or short term rental commercial space is often completed without permit. In addition, the fixed assets for filming in the AFI are limited and it is easy to change locations or move production to another county. Due to these factors, it is likely that there would be a high degree of non-compliance with a regulatory County Code approach.

Further, with either approach DPH would need to devote significant staff resources to identify sites of the production companies and to monitor compliance with performer protection requirements. This would likely also entail the viewing of commercial AFI video productions to ascertain compliance, assuming we could identify who produced each film, when it was produced and where it was shot. The staff resources that would be needed for this have not been determined, but would require significant new funding to accomplish.

In addition to these practical challenges, County Counsel indicates that an expanded local regulation of the AFI, would likely face constitutional challenge on freedom of speech grounds. The outcome of such a legal challenge could not be predicted with certainty.

Each Supervisor September 17, 2009 Page 5

Conclusion and Next Steps

DPH has taken an active role in addressing STDs and HIV in the AFI including disease investigation, surveillance, and outreach and education efforts to reduce the risk of infection. At present, DPH monitors AFI-related STDs and HIV cases, takes action to investigate cases of disease, and makes referral to Cal/OSHA, which has subpoena power and can impose penalties, for further investigation and action with respect to workplace safety violations.

A health officer order or a new requirement in County Code will be difficult to implement and resource intensive, possibly subject to legal challenge, and, overall, unlikely to be an effective approach to prevent AFI performers from acquiring preventable STDs including those that are life-altering.

The best scenario would entail expanded statutory requirements on the AFI. The County has supported these efforts in the past, but none of the legislative proposals have been successful. DPH recommends the Board sponsor or support measures to strengthen penalties and enforce condom use related to the AFI in the upcoming legislative session.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please let me know.

JEF:lm

c: Chief Executive Officer
Acting County Counsel
Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors

ATTACHMENT C



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Gloria Molina
First District
Mark Ridley-Thomas
Second District
Zev Yaroslavsky
Third District
Don Knabe
Fourth District
Michael D. Antonovich

Fifth District

JONATHAN E. FIELDING, M.D., M.P.H. Director and Health Officer

JONATHAN E. FREEDMAN Chief Deputy Director

313 North Figueroa Street, Room 808 Los Angeles, California 90012 TEL (213) 240-8117 • FAX (213) 975-1273

www.publichealth.lacounty.gov

July 23, 2012

TO:

Each Supervisor

FROM: Jonathan E. Fielding, M.D., M.P.H.

Director and Health Officer

SUBJECT:

SAFER SEX IN THE ADULT FILM INDUSTRY BALLOT INITIATIVE

(Agenda Item #15 for the Board Meeting of July 24, 2012)

This is in response to your Board's July 10, 2012 consideration of the Safer Sex in the Adult Film Industry ballot initiative. At that meeting, your Board directed the Department of Public Health (DPH) to respond to a range of questions raised by your Board and to assess potential implications for enforcing a mandatory condom policy in the adult film industry. This memorandum does not address the legal issues raised by your Board; these will be addressed by County Counsel under a separate memorandum.

Preliminary Implementation Approach

Based on a review of the ballot initiative, DPH has preliminarily developed the following implementation approach. The measure establishes two types of permits: a required Public Health Permit to be issued by DPH, and an on-location permit possibly issued by a city, the County, or another entity such as Film LA.

Public Health Permit

- The Public Health Permit application would include the required elements of an Exposure Control Plan, staff training, and payment of the Permit fee.
- A film company would have to attest and maintain documentation that it was in compliance with the condom mandate and relevant staff have taken the required training.
- Training would need to be accomplished via a DPH-approved training entity. Similarly, the
 included Exposure Control Plan and documentation would also be subject to review at any time.

Film Permit

- While the proposed measure specifies a requirement for an on-location film permit, the implementation of this provision is not clear as on-location activities relate to conditions a given city or the unincorporated area place on such activities broadly. For instance, some cities may not require on-location permit while others may have specific conditions. Because there are no uniform on-location permit conditions across the County and cities, implementation of this provision would likely require focused discussions with cities.
- At least for the unincorporated area, the County would need to identify an existing entity or create new administrative infrastructure to issue on-location Film Permits.

Monitoring and Enforcement

- DPH Public Health Investigators (PHIs) would be trained and deployed to conduct random checks to ensure compliance (frequency to be determined) with issued Public Health Permits.
- DPH would maintain a phone line to field complaints and reports of violations.
- DPH PHIs would follow up on complaints that warrant further inspection.
- Violations would be addressed in one or a combination of ways including: permit revocation or suspension; penalty assessment; order of a plan of correction; confiscation and storage of equipment; and an administrative review proceeding.

Challenges to Compliance

The ballot measure's effectiveness will be a challenge with respect to compliance as there are few options to identify and require underground, inconspicuous, intentionally non-compliant filmmakers to obtain permits. While it is likely that there will be adult filming conducted without a permits, larger adult film production companies may be more motivated to secure a permits for insurance or other business purposes.

On multiple occasions, there have been broad comparisons made of the adult film industry to the mobile food industry, in particular as it relates to permitting and enforcement with established operating standards. There are key differences to be made: 1) In the highly competitive mobile food industry, competitors will often report non-compliant food vendors, giving enforcement authorities information to identify or otherwise track their location; and 2) Mobile food vendors through both social and traditional media consistently and quite publicly share information on their location in order to draw customers (and many disclose this information in advance).

Conversely, adult film producers do not publicly disclose their intended film location in advance and are difficult to be identified by competitors, thus limiting the ability to locate and inspect them. The by-product of the film production (the film) is not released until months or even years after the film shoot takes place, highlighting the enforcement difficulties with respect to the adult film industry.

Additionally, we understand from several years of illegal food vendor (i.e. unpermitted food carts) enforcement that confiscating equipment has not proven to be entirely successful given the relative ease to re-establish activities. As such, imposing fines and confiscating the equipment of non-compliant adult film producers may not result in films being produced in full compliance with a mandatory condom use ordinance.

Preliminary Cost Analysis

There would be significant startup costs to DPH regardless of the level of compliance with the ballot measure and the number of Public Health Permits reviewed and issued. Although there are cost recovery provisions in the ballot measure, the County may face unfunded costs due to an unknown but potentially large complaint volume that could occur from segments of the industry that are un-permitted.

Among the range of activities and infrastructure needed are: training and curriculum development and ongoing review; permitting processes; administrative review; database maintenance; inspections; warm-line staffing and complaint follow-up; fine assessments, appeals processes, and administrative review procedures; confiscation, law enforcement engagement, and evidence warehousing activities and infrastructure, etc.

Each Supervisor July 23, 2012 Page 3

Based on a preliminary cost analysis the establishment of an Adult Film Public Health Permit Office would at a minimum require approximately \$291,466 not including potential additional costs associated with confiscation, law enforcement involvement, and administrative and appeal proceedings. Because the ordinance proposes a two-year permit, the two-year costs are estimated to be \$582,932.

If 10 Public Health Permits were issued, the two-year costs translate into a fee of \$58,294 per permit. Alternatively, if 50 Permits are issued, the per permit fee would be \$11,658. Because actual permit volume is unknown at this time, DPH will need to make a volume estimate for purposes of establishing the initial fee and make adjustments thereafter, as is done with other Public Health Permits.

In the event that all or nearly all of the estimated 200 adult film production companies operating in Los Angeles County solicit a Public Health Permit, the cost per permit would decline. The decrease in the Public Health Permit fee would be tempered, however, by additional operating expenses associated with an increased volume in applications and related monitoring and enforcement activities

Attached is a preliminary Public Health Permit cost and fee worksheet. Note this does not include costs associated with on-location permits.

Performer Complaints

Finally, and as part of the questions outlined by your Board related to any current complaints from actors or actresses in the adult film industry, we have no record of complaints filed directly with our Department. We have made referrals based on disease case reports to the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (CalOSHA), the entity with jurisdiction over California blood-borne pathogen protection standards and workplace safety regulations.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please let me know.

JEF:kb PH:1207:001

Attachment

c: Chief Executive Officer
 County Counsel
 Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors

\$582,932

LOS ANGELES COUNTY - DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

PRELIMINARY PUBLIC HEALTH PERMIT COST AND FEE WORKSHEET (does not include on-location film permit)

I. Costs based on 0-50 Public Health Permits per Year

A. Personnel	
Administrative Assistant I, 1.0 FTE	\$73,644
Public Health Investigator I, 1.0 FTE	\$92,814
Sup. Public Health Investigator, 0.50 FTE	\$54,208
Indirect Costs (15% of S&EB)	\$33,100
Staff responsibilities (initial list):	7,
Staff the Public Health Permit Office; handle the public	
Application Review (3-5 hours/per application)	
o Review completeness	
Manage Public Health Permit Database	
o Ensure training compliance	
o Process payment via Treasurer/Tax Collector	
Respond to complaints	
_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	
Oversee administrative review and appeal proceedings	
Ensure appropriateness of blood-borne pathogen training curriculum	
 Liaison with city governments and on-location film permitting authority 	rities
B. Support Costs	
Public Health Permit Administrative Office	
Lease	\$24,000
Office Equipment	\$ 3,000
Computers	\$ 6,000
Phone, Office Phone Line, Complaint Line	\$ 1,200
Database establishment and maintenance	\$ 2,500
Webpage development, inclusion into existing DPH page	\$ 1,000
Woopage development, metaolog into emissing 2111 page	Ψ 1,000
Additional Ordinance Enforcement Related Costs	
Warehousing of equipment	TBD
C. Possible Additional Ancillary Personnel Costs	m n n
Law Enforcement Costs	TBD
Other Administrative Review and Appeal Costs	TBD
Preliminary Estimated Annual Total Operating Costs	\$291,466
** ** * ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *	0.504.044

Preliminary Estimated Two-Year Total Operating Costs

II. Preliminary Estimated Two-Year Permit Fees (range based on permit volume) 10 permits \$58,294 per permit 25 permits \$23,318 per permit 50 permits \$11,658 per permit

III. Additional Annual Variable Costs based on 51-200 Public Health Permits per year

Public Health Investigator I, 1.0 FTE		\$92,814
Additional Law Enforcement Costs		TBD
Additional Administrative Review and Appeal Costs		TBD
Additional Confiscation and Warehousing Costs		TBD

###

ATTACHMENT C



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

(213) 974-1801 FACSIMILE

TELEPHONE

(213) 626-7446 TDD

(213) 633-0901

JOHN F. KRATTLI County Counsel

July 23, 2012

TO:

SUPERVISOR ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, Chairman

SUPERVISOR GLORIA MOLINA

SUPERVISOR MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS

SUPERVISOR DON KNABE

SUPERVISOR MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH

FROM:

JOHN F. KRATTLI

County Counsel

RE:

Item No. 15 for the Agenda of July 24, 2012

AIDS Healthcare Foundation Ballot Initiative - Safer Sex in

the Adult Film Industry

Purpose of Memorandum

This memorandum responds to questions raised by your Board concerning the "County of Los Angeles Safer Sex in the Adult Film Industry" ballot initiative sponsored by the AIDS Healthcare Foundation. The Department of Public Health will also be providing a memorandum to your Board in response to your questions.

Summary

On July 3, 2012, the County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk ("RRCC") certified the Safer Sex in the Adult Film Industry Initiative ("Initiative"). On July 10, 2012, the matter was before your Board for certification of the results of the Registrar-Recorder's determination that sufficient valid signatures had been obtained, Countywide, to qualify the measure for placement on the ballot. At that time we advised that your Board was required to either adopt the ordinance contained in the Initiative without alteration, or submit the Initiative, without alteration, to the voters on the next Statewide ballot, or request a report on issues related to the Initiative before taking one of the two previously identified actions at a subsequent Board meeting. You asked that our office and the Department of Public Health ("DPH") report back on various issues related to the Initiative, and you continued the matter to your July 24, 2012, meeting.

Both the California Elections Code and case law support the conclusion that all qualified voters in the County, whether residing in incorporated or unincorporated areas, are entitled to vote on the Initiative.

If approved, all of the ordinance provisions of the Initiative would become part of the County Code and would be effective in the unincorporated areas of the County.

However, in light of the language of the agreements pursuant to which DPH provides services to 85 of the 88 cities in the County, the "public health permit" provisions set forth in the Initiative would not become effective within any of those cities until such city has adopted those measures into its municipal code. Once adopted by one of those cities, DPH would then enforce the adopted ordinance within the jurisdiction of that city.

Likewise, the zoning "film permit" provisions of the Initiative would not become effective in any city, unless and until the city took an affirmative action to adopt such provisions as part of its municipal code.

The Initiative's provisions will not be enforceable by DPH in the cities of Vernon, Long Beach, and Pasadena. Those cities do not contract with DPH and have their own health officer. Those city health officers enforce both State health laws and their own municipal health codes, but do not enforce County health laws. Accordingly, those cities would have to enact a similar adult film industry condom requirement ordinance and enforce it themselves.

The following analysis also discusses the initiative process, potential legal challenges to the Initiative, the fact that the County is not obligated to defend the validity of the Initiative, potential liability of the County if the Initiative is approved, DPH's enforcement of the Initiative provisions, and comparisons with the City of Los Angeles and the Simi Valley ordinances.

ANALYSIS

The Initiative Process

The initiative process established by the Legislature, and described in the Elections Code, contemplates that County initiatives be approved by voters countywide. The statutory scheme includes circulation of petitions in the signature gathering stage throughout the County, as well as a vote by all electors of the County once the ordinance is submitted to the voters.

Proponents of an initiative must file a notice of intention with the County elections official prior to circulating a petition for signatures in a county (Elections Code § 9103(a)). A copy of the proposed measure is transmitted by the County elections official to the County Counsel to complete an impartial title and

summary, which shall be finalized within 15 days (Elections Code § 9105). The County Counsel's title and summary must appear on each section of the petition and across the top of each page of the petition (Elections Code § 9105 (e)). Any elector of the County, whether a resident of the unincorporated area or an incorporated city, may seek a writ of mandate to have the ballot title or summary amended (Elections Code § 9106).

The number of signatures required for an initiative to qualify for a ballot is determined by the Registrar prior to circulating the petition. That number is ascertained based on the total number of votes cast in the County for all candidates for Governor at the last gubernatorial election (Elections Code § 9107). Petitions are circulated countywide after the proponents have published the County Counsel's title and summary (Elections Code § 9108).

The Elections Code does not provide for a manner in which to calculate the signatures required for an unincorporated area only vote, nor does it provide for signature gathering in only the unincorporated area.

Once a petition is certified as sufficient by the Registrar, and placed on the ballot by the Board of Supervisors, if the ballot measure receives a majority vote in its favor, the ordinance shall become a valid and binding ordinance of the County. The ordinance shall go into effect 10 days after the date the final results of the election are declared by the Board of Supervisors.

Here, the proponents of the "County of Los Angeles Safer Sex in the Adult Film Industry Act" filed a Notice of Intention to Circulate Petition with the Registrar on November 23, 2011. On December 7, 2011, the County Counsel prepared the title and summary of the initiative (enclosed) in conformance with Elections Code section 9105. The title and summary were provided to the proponents and were included in the petitions.

The County Counsel has a ministerial duty to provide the title and summary when requested by an initiative proponent, unless an action is filed seeking authorization from the court to be relieved of that duty. *Widders v. Furchtenicht* (2008) 167 Cal.App.4th 769, 779. A court will only relieve the County Counsel from the duty if the initiative is clearly unconstitutional. *Id.* at 780.

The Initiative is Subject to a Countywide Vote

The One-Person, One-Vote Rule

In addition to the statutory scheme established by the Legislature providing for countywide votes on initiatives described above, the one-person, one-vote rule strongly suggests that when general funds available for countywide

services are at issue, or when there is a public interest in the matter affecting all citizens of the County, no one segment of the voting jurisdiction is entitled to more input in an election, and all voters in the County must have the opportunity to vote.

The Constitution protects the right of all qualified citizens to vote in federal, state and local elections. *Reynolds v. Sims* (1964) 377 U.S. 533, 554, and *Avery v. Midland County* (1968) 390 U.S. 474. If the government body in question has substantial "general government powers," it is subject to a strict scrutiny analysis and the one-person, one-vote principle must be adhered to throughout the geographic region under the jurisdiction of the governing body. *Id.* at 485-486.

The U.S. Supreme Court and California Courts have found that when a public entity exercises general powers of government, the right to vote cannot be restricted to certain groups. "When all citizens are affected in important ways by a governmental decision . . . , the Constitution does not permit . . . the exclusion of otherwise qualified citizens from the franchise." *Phoenix v. Kolodziejski* (1970) 399 U.S. 204.

A California Court of Appeal struck down a voting scheme that excluded all unincorporated county voters from voting to approve the location of a county airport within a city. Hawn v. County of Ventura (1977) 73 Cal.App.3d 1009. The Court found the voting scheme unconstitutional because it denied the right to vote to all county residents. The court found that by restricting the right to vote to city voters, those voters were not only given the power to prohibit airports within their borders, but also the power to dump the problem upon the disenfranchised residents of the unincorporated areas. Id. at 1020.

The Initiative creates a County ordinance and explicitly gives your Board the power to amend the ordinance. The Initiative proposes additional duties for the Public Health Officer who is appointed by and serves under the direction of your Board. Because the Initiative impacts the revenues collected and the services provided by DPH, and can implicate general funds, the benefits and burdens may affect all County residents with an interest in County services.

The residents of incorporated cities have a substantial interest in measures regulating public health. As more fully explained below, the Initiative, if approved by the voters, would be effective in the unincorporated areas and in those cities which contract for DPH services and which adopt the necessary ordinances. City residents are entitled to vote on the Initiative and thereby affect whether the Initiative's provisions may become effective in their city. If only unincorporated residents are allowed to vote and they reject the Initiative, city residents who support the Initiative would be denied the opportunity to have their city council take the necessary steps to make the Initiative's provisions effective

in their city. Conversely, city residents who oppose the Initiative would not be able to vote against the measure.

Based on the relevant case law, as well as the Elections Code provisions which clearly contemplate countywide signature gathering, we conclude that the voters throughout the County are entitled to vote on the Initiative.

Areas in the County in which the Initiative, if Approved by the Voters, would be Applicable

If approved by the voters, the Initiative will amend Title 11, Health and Safety, and Title 22, Planning and Zoning, of the Los Angeles County Code ("LACC"). The amendments to Title 11 would establish a "Public Health Permit," which would be valid for two years. The amendments to Title 22 would add new provisions and requirements to the existing County zoning provisions for an "on-location film permit". Both the public health permit provisions and the on-location film permit provisions would be operable in the unincorporated areas of the County.

Public Health Provisions. The Title 11 Public Health Permit provisions would not be operable in incorporated areas of the County until those cities which contract with DPH for public health services adopt those provisions into their individual municipal codes. The reason for this is that the specific language of the contracts that DPH has with 85 cities to act as their local health officer requires a city's adoption of County health ordinances (Title 11 or amendments thereto) prior to enforcement by DPH within that city.

The Initiative's provisions will not be operable in the cities of Vernon, Long Beach, and Pasadena. Those cities, which have their own health officers, do not contract with DPH for public health services. Those cities' health officers enforce both State health laws and their own municipal health codes, but do not enforce County health laws. Those cities would have to enact their own adult film industry regulations and enforce those regulations themselves.

On-location Film Permit Provisions. The portion of the ordinance that amends Title 22, Planning and Zoning, of the County Code will be operable in the unincorporated areas. The 85 DPH contracted cities would have to adopt these provisions into their municipal codes for the adult film permit provisions to apply to filming permits issued by those cities.

It is important to note, that in any city that adopts the Title 11 Public Health Permit provisions of the Initiative, DPH would have public health permit inspection duties over adult movie filming even if that city chose not to adopt the on-location filming permit provisions of the Initiative. The on-location

filming permit provisions would enhance DPH's regulation of adult movie filming in the cities by providing additional notice to DPH of specific film shoots, as well as providing additional permit fees to finance DPH's regulations under the Initiative. However, additional benefits would only accrue in those cities if adult film producers agree to obtain on-location film permits.

Potential Legal Challenges to the Proposed Ordinance

Challenges Based on the Initiative Power

Generally, the initiative power applies only to acts that are legislative in character, not to executive or administrative acts. Simpson v. Hite (1950) 36 Cal.2d 125, 134. A ballot measure may be challenged prior to an election where it is determined that the electorate does not have the power to adopt the proposal in the first instance. AFL v. Eu (1984) 36 Cal.3d 687, 695. For example, a measure may be excluded from the ballot if it violates the single subject rule, or if the measure is not properly the subject to the initiative power. Id. at 695-696.

In this instance, the Initiative, which would create a new regulatory scheme, plainly appears legislative in nature. A legal challenge asserting that the Initiative is not a proper subject of the initiative power, would be unlikely to succeed.

Constitutional Challenges

In terms of the substantive provisions of the Initiative, it is unclear whether the measure violates the First Amendment and other constitutional protections. Some cases suggest that even if a proposed measure is within the initiative power, courts have the discretion to allow a pre-election challenge upon a compelling showing that the substantive provisions of the initiative are clearly invalid. However, making such a showing is difficult, requiring a clear showing of a facial defect.

With respect to the possibility of legal challenges regarding the Initiative, constitutional legal challenges may come from the Free Speech Coalition, as well as individual producers and performers. There are two types of possible challenges: (1) A facial challenge to the constitutionality of the ordinance as written, and (2) an "as applied" challenge to the ordinance as it is actually enforced. These challenges may be brought separately or be combined in a single or multiple lawsuits. A challenge solely to the constitutionality of the ordinance need not be defended by the County. However, the County would need to defend itself and its employees in an as-applied challenge to the constitutionality of any County enforcement actions, since this would be based on actions taken by the County in implementing the ordinance.

Duty to Defend

If the measure is challenged prior to or after placement on the ballot, the County is under no obligation to defend the validity of the measure. For example, in *Perry v. Brown* (2011) 52 Cal.4th 1116, the California Supreme Court held that the proponent of an initiative was uniquely positioned to defend the validity of an initiative where the public official has declined to do so. The Court recognized that "although public officials ordinarily have the responsibility of defending a challenged law, in instances in which the challenged law has been adopted through the initiative process there is a realistic risk that the public officials may not defend the approved initiative measure 'with vigor.' [Citations omitted]. This enhanced risk is attributable to the unique nature and purpose of the initiative power, which gives the people the right to adopt into law measures that their elected officials have not adopted and may often oppose." *Id.* at 1149. During the lawsuit challenging Proposition 8, the County took no position on the merits of the measure after passage by the voters, and no attorney fees were awarded against the County.

Potential Liability of County if the Initiative is Approved by the Voters

The ordinance requires the County to issue adult film public health permits to qualifying adult film producers prior to the filming of an adult film. The stated purpose of the Initiative is to minimize the spread of sexually transmitted infection during the filming of adult films.

It should be noted that, in 2009, AIDS Healthcare Foundation ("AHF") filed a lawsuit against the County, seeking a writ of mandate to compel the County to require the use of condoms in the making of adult films. Should the Initiative pass, it is possible that AHF may seek to impose certain regulatory requirements regarding the enforcement of the ordinance through a writ of mandamus.

Although the ordinance requires DPH to create a regulatory permitting process, it leaves specific enforcement procedures and actions to the discretion of DPH. As such, it is unlikely that discretionary enforcement under the ordinance would create a mandatory duty to protect a particular performer or performers from injury. There are a variety of immunities that would be applicable to the County and Public Health Officer for actions taken in implementing and enforcing the Initiative's provisions. These include Government Code section 818.2 (immunity for failure to enforce any law); Government Code section 818.4 (immunity for issuance or denial of a permit or license); and Government Code section 818.6 (immunity for failure to inspect). Moreover, Government Code section 855.4 immunizes a public entity and public employee from an injury resulting from a decision to perform or not perform a discretionary act to control the spread of communicable disease.

Enforcement of the Initiative by DPH

Once the ordinance is adopted, DPH is charged with enforcing the public health provisions. As previously stated, DPH also enforces the public health ordinances of those cities which have entered into contracts with the County for enforcement. Accordingly, DPH would also be responsible for enforcing the County ordinance in those contract cities which adopt the ordinance. The County ordinance contemplates that DPH may promulgate regulations pertaining to the filming of adult films.

The Initiative requires that producers of adult films must have an adult film public health permit in order to film or produce adult films. It is a violation of the ordinance for a producer to fail to require performers to use condoms or other safety precautions during acts of sexual intercourse. Should producers not comply with the requirements of the adult film public health permit, the permit can be suspended or revoked depending on the violation.

DPH must initiate an enforcement scheme that provides for the citation of adult film producers that violate the ordinance. The specific procedures of the enforcement scheme would need to be determined by DPH.

For those adult film producers who have obtained an adult film public health permit from DPH, the Initiative contemplates inspections by DPH investigators. During the inspections, DPH investigators are to determine whether or not the adult film producer is in compliance with the conditions of the permit. If not in compliance, the DPH investigator is to provide a statement of deficiencies and a list of corrective measures necessary to return to compliance with the permit requirements to the producer. In order to accomplish inspections, the Initiative permits DPH investigators "to enter and inspect any location suspected of conducting any activity regulated" by the County's Initiative. DPH inspectors may then "take possession of any sample, photograph, record or other evidence, including documents bearing upon an adult film producer's compliance." Further, the Initiative allows for reinspection of premises by DPH after a notice of deficiencies has been issued to a producer.

For those adult film producers who are filming without a valid public health permit, the Initiative permits the entry of premises that DPH investigators suspect are filming adult content without a permit.

The County is not Required to Set Up its Own Film Permitting Office

The question has arisen whether passage of the Initiative would require the County to set up its own film permitting office. Although we believe the County would be responsible for ensuring that an on-location film permitting process was in place and that film permits issued for adult filming activity

contained the content required in the Initiative, we do not believe that the County would be required to establish any specific model for film permitting or create a new film permitting office.

The Initiative would amend section 22.56.1925 of Title 22 (Planning and Zoning) of the County Code. That section sets forth the current requirements relating to the issuance of on-location filming permits, a type of temporary use permit. The Initiative amends the existing on-location filming permit provisions to impose specific requirements for permits issued to the producers of adult films. On-location filming permits for adult films must contain language requiring the permittee to abide by all applicable health and safety regulations, including mandating the use of condoms, to shield performers from exposure to blood borne and other sexually transmitted infections. Any person obtaining an adult film on-location filming permit would be required to maintain engineering and work practice controls in order to protect employees from exposure to sexually transmitted infections. The County would be required to charge a permit fee for such on-location filming permits that was sufficient to provide for periodic inspections to ensure compliance with the public health requirements imposed by the Initiative.

Currently, the issuance of on-location filming permits in the County is coordinated through Film LA. Should the Initiative pass, we believe that such coordination could continue, but the County would have to take steps to ensure that the permits issued for adult film on-location filming in the unincorporated County by Film LA meet the additional requirements established by the Initiative as described in the previous paragraph. We do not believe that the initiative language relating to on-location filming permits dictates the creation of any specific type of film-permitting office. In fact, the language of the Initiative relating to on-location film permits specifically provides that the provisions apply directly to the County, or to any entity contracting with the County to administer the involved film permitting process.

As indicated elsewhere in this memorandum, in the event the Initiative is approved by the voters, the provisions of the Initiative addressing onlocation film permits would only be automatically effective in the unincorporated areas of the County, since the on-location filming permit provisions of the County Code only apply to unincorporated County areas. Any city electing to have those provisions apply within its boundaries would be required to adopt an ordinance imposing those requirements within its own municipal code. Such a city would then have to take steps to ensure that on-location filming permits for adult films complied with the provisions of the Initiative. The specific approach used by any such city for the issuance of on-location filming permits for adult films would have to be determined by that city.

Differences between the City Ordinance and the Proposed County Ordinance

The City ordinance is significantly less extensive in scope than the County ordinance proposed by the Initiative. The proposed County ordinance regulates all adult film production, while the City ordinance only regulates persons or entities who obtain film permits from the City for on-location commercial filming of adult films.

The City ordinance requires that all producers of adult films issued permits under the authority of the City are required to maintain engineering and work practice controls to protect employees from exposure to blood and other potentially infectious materials. Such controls include, but are not limited to, simulation of sex acts, use of condoms, and the provision of lubricants to facilitate condom use. Any City adult film permit must include language requiring the permittee to abide by all applicable workplace health and safety regulations, including the provisions of the California Code of Regulations which require the use of barrier protection, including condoms, during film production.

The City ordinance does not, as does the proposed County ordinance, 1) require the producers of adult films to obtain a public health permit; 2) require the successful completion of a blood pathogen training course by all permittees; 3) provide for the City to promulgate regulations for an exposure control plan, and to review and approve such plans; 4) provide that the City may enter and inspect any location for the purposes of enforcing the ordinance; 5) provide a process for the suspension and revocation of the City permit; 6) provide for both civil fines and misdemeanor penalties, and injunctive relief; or 7) provide that if the City permit is suspended or revoked, the involved producer of the adult films shall cease filming adult films

The City of Simi Valley Has Adopted An Ordinance Similar to the City of Los Angeles Ordinance

In April 2012, the City of Simi Valley adopted an ordinance similar to one adopted by the City of Los Angeles. Simi Valley now requires producers to obtain an adult film permit. The Simi Valley Ordinance requires condoms, dental dams or other appropriate means to be used in every instance of sexual penetration or oral sex in the production of an adult film within the city. The ordinance permits the city's director of administrative services to review all film or other media evidencing sexual penetration or oral sex and inspect any site where the production of an adult film takes place.

If you have questions concerning this matter, please contact me, Assistant County Counsel Richard K. Mason at (213) 974-1866, or Principal Deputy County Counsel Robert E. Ragland at (213) 974-1928.

JFK:RER:sc

c: William T Fujioka Chief Executive Officer

> Sachi A. Hamai, Executive Officer Board of Supervisors

Jonathan E. Fielding, M.D., M.P.H., Director and Health Officer Department of Public Health

Jonathan E. Freedman, M.D., Chief Deputy Director Department of Public Health

Dean C. Logan Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk



ATTACHMENT D BUDGET REQUEST/REDUCTION PACKAGE FY 2011-2012

PAGE

Phone Number

3: PACKAGE TITLE: 4: ELEMENT/PROGRAM TITLE: AF3805-FPB Hazardous Materials Enforcement 5: PROVIDES SERVICES TO YOUTH AND CHILDREN: Ves VNo 6a. DESCRIPTION OF WORK OUTPUT AND OBJECTIVE:	And Large particles of the control o
5. PROVIDES SERVICES TO YOUTH AND CHILDREN: PIVES: VIVO	
。2012年中国中国共和国中国共和国中国主义的人工,是是中国政治的政治的政治的政治,这种国际政治的国际政治的国际政治,这种政治和国际政治,这种国际政治,这种国际政治的政治 1496年的国际政治的政治政治的政治政治,对于国际政治的政治的国际政治的国际政治的国际政治的国际政治的政治、国际政治、政治的国际政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政	
。2012年中国中国共和国中国共和国中国主义的人工,是是中国政治的政治的政治的政治,这种国际政治的国际政治的国际政治,这种政治和国际政治,这种国际政治,这种国际政治的政治 1496年的国际政治的政治政治的政治政治,对于国际政治的政治的国际政治的国际政治的国际政治的国际政治的政治、国际政治、政治的国际政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政治、政	
6b. ADDRESSES MAYOR'S BUDGET GOALS: I Public Safety	
7. LEGAL BASIS: State Federal City Charter VAd Code/Ordinance Policy of Budget	a per appearance in
78. "LAYOFFS: Projected number in package is not funded."	
第一条 SOURCE OF FUNDS: List all proposed funding sources and basis for use of special purpose funds. If more than one source of	of funds is
proposed for this package, complete the attachment.	સાંભુક કે માટે કર્યો હોઈ છે. સ
under Grand Comment of the contract of the con	ي. يا گاه پرځون پهيوم پهيومت د مهرونه د په
10. REVENUE: State the revenue impact of funding or not funding this package and any required ordinance changes.	Language Language and the language and t
	•
11. IMPACT: Detail quantifiable and non-quantifiable benefits of funding this package, including the impact on service levels, and	
[11] IMPACT: : Detail quantifiable and non-quantifiable benefits of funding this package, including the impact on service levels, and consequences of not funding its service levels.	
	14. m. 17.
	다 심 IS IS
等基本的	UNICHENARRECHMENTERSPERIE Se F
12: RESOURCES REQUIRED NEXT. FISCAL YEAR: SPACE (SQ:FI)	
SWORN SALARY CIVILIAN SALARY Acct Acct Name Acct Name	Amount
No. of Sworn: 6 Sworn: 1 JAcct Water Acct Name Control of the Sworn Sworn: 6 Sworn: 6 Sworn: 1 Sworn: 6 Sworn: 6 Sworn: 1 Sworn: 6 Sworn:	2,100
Acct Acct Name 6020 Operating Supplies 632,520 1000 Salary General 602 Operating Supplies	1,400 43,260
1093 Constant Staffing 0 1090 Overline General 0 3260 Rescue Supplies & Expense	12,480個
1030 Bonus Sworn 3,066 1070 Salaries As Needed 0 9350 Communications Services 6010 Office & Administrative 60487 60487	54,790 20,090
50,487 7300 Furniture, Office & Tech. Equip	42,000
1093 Constant Staffing. 7 0 GSD GSD GSD GSD GSD GSD	1,400 国 3,600 国
7340 Transportation Equipment	99,000 h 4,200
3090 Field Equipment Expense	25,632
ி. இது நடித்து Pension இருந்து 194,310 நடித்து இension இருந்து இருந்து 16,491 நடத்து	0
Benefits 74,010 Benefits 9,864	ō
Substant & B. Zou, DZO	0
Sworn Total: 1,269,986 Civilian Total: 86,842 Equipment/Expense Total:	309,952' 1.666.780

Title

Preparer's Name



BUDGET REQUEST: POSITION/SALARY DETAIL (EY)2011-2012

是是實際的發展的。 PACKAGE TITLE 是一個Ordinance No. 181989 - start up costs 在可能可能使用的可能使用的可能使用的可能使用的可能使用的可能使用的可能使用的可能使										PAGE	
認道	. CIVILIA	N POSITIONS:	FY 2011-201	2							
	Class Code	Class Title				TUDO	No Month	s Salany	Gross	Less Salary Savings	Not Salary
	1223-2	ACCOUNTING		RAPHANESISE PEREN		Cocsonies (19)	1 12	63,671	arthorn standards . A terturanthis	Smittename bucker v. same as	60,487
			i ara kalin shika sa kata ka		arang ana ing kanang arang sa Kanang arang arang sa kanang sa kanang sa kanang sa kanang sa kanang sa kanang s				0	0:	Ō
		······································	enement en		Edgiska kasadiri dal			ļ	0	0,	0.22
	Electronic in the con-		[2014] 在中国中国中国中国共和国共和国中国	深端 潜程的程序等加值指定的	L REPORTS AND A SECURITY OF THE PARTY OF THE	GRADINGS-C=	unstrum is de silver.	* ####################################	O') Para las en	O	0. 四 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
機能		· Hondald was an east th	DA BORREST PÅ BYGGET AV T	群。由于"自然被约克特"的15G e N	santawa sa nong	. :				· 0	0
		- Çerimin' 44/4	er a met et e	inderentario de la composició de la comp	a constitue materiale.	ar order ar or :	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		01	0	0
		· Annaeras	Bergoren bergoren		oran a varamuska sama sa Persiten Sehol Meskolok	alenatara. Comunication			0	0	0 2
		. Opinionista spatipina i	. Tima, additioning,	entretangentelar telepakanan aya	A Logister acomognical A	ra op soprater _e e		! !*** **** ********	0:		0 5
144	5. M	े मार्गेसिक केन्द्र सिंगिस्स स्थित के का कार सेन्ट्रिक स्थाप स्थाप	ी के किया मार्चिक कार्ने के कार्ने कर कर है और	可指由的投資組織 经产产 新二甲甲酚	i Heriografika dapa sekiji libekarih L	havanillantinas .		r * *	0.		0.4
		njihan kurida sebe e direkt	urko tara biyado barda babii	stadio an Bandin de Provincia de marca	his and a sec history angely	, w. (35, 35,55) ·			0:	0.	0
槐	20	A second	and a second of the second	an Marianana arab	galerana accessor i	an one e Mariania.		A.W	0.	0.	0 1
	4 . ************************************				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		70.00.000	<u> </u>	0.	0	 でき
ar K	(Aug) — paleij Pas Silpini (Duit) It la Nacharda			Maria de la Caración			1 \$500 \$100 \$100	63,671 41,3894-418,35	63,671 集制: 新漢字代表	3,184	60,487
1964) 1964) 1984)	r versi ili ilmanistri ilministri di decesi dice di dici il della di Libraria di Calenda di Libraria Libraria di Calenda di Libraria		rate og 1000 ligting fra 1000 i 1000 ligting fra 1000 ligting orderfortiging i dig til opbort often a sinda oli bligde og 1000 ligting fra 1000	gangan di gang bangan di gili ban makinggan gang bangsahalih si bandi makinggan di digangan di gang di di kanggan di salah di diganggan dan	reneral of the second state of the Conflict that the first played state of the conflict of the second state of the Conflict of the second state of the second		orrege (Bellevennett fra Hedrophen for her belle f Google (Belleven Belleven)	r in 1917 blight in the State of State		rager y treje og projet graf i filologi Spines (1948 avstå med med med med med Spines (1948 avstå med	er i grandje tra ser i strij savita, sili pod kjedinim se savjetjelega se kranjasta konstruaren birtzalaren jako kiloniako kiloniako kiloniako kiloniako kiloniako kiloniako
2	SWORN	POSITIONS:	FY 2011-2012		landeatre, nationalists on the land from the	nigery of the	on the land to be	al general coming the section	lande des les aprofit	li minis ga na a ar 10 g 10 n nom 10 a a i a an an an a	a de assert a sur paralle file
	Class	論政治認識計算							Con	stant .	

2. SWO	RN POSITIONS:	FY 2011-2012

	Class				學問題	類語納問證		Constant		定的海坞邮
	Code	Class Title	Type	No:	Mont	hs Salary	Gross 7	Staffing	Bonus	Net Salary
調2	128-1	INSPECTOR I (SD)	9	6	12	105,420	632,520	0	3,066	635,586
頸.		使的过程性可能的现在分词可以可以可可以可以使用的自己的,但可以可以可以可以使用的一种性的。 《	Samerane en S	, r	ğı. , ı			0	0	0.
釂.		። ቀስ ያንስለመታሪያቸው የሚቀተ ነው ነው ነው የሚያመን የተጠያማታት ነገር ነው ነው የሚስት ያመስታቸውን መስታታቸውን የመስታታቸውን ነው የተጠያዩ ነው ነው ነው ነው ነው ነው ነው -	्ष पुरुषकाः सम्बद्धाः व			y				
器.		。 最高级的大型大型,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1988年,1988年,1988年,1988年,1988年,1988年,1988年,1988年,1988年,1988年,1988年	j 12.87% ****		,		U 			i
			j					0	0:	0
		。 《大学》(1985年)(1985年)(1985年)(1985年)(1985年)(1985年))(1985年)(1985年)(1985年)(1985年)(1985年)(1987年)(1987年)(1987年)(1987年)	gpood for arising]	í		a	. 0:		0.
		和美国的特殊的问题的1754年至1866年2010年7月25日2月2日2日2日2日2日2日2日2日2日2日2日2日2日2日2日2日2日	permanenso.			inga etmeten t mambindage e. F			v	0
瓣	a'nstraag esatem		janior zastete	STATES AND A SENS	inaminata.	bra comercentement article ext	in manatantiones ensusassi	dumas-enterant - enterante	Ward the water state of the first	para ri ministrativamina ri
劉.		。 	de la companya de la			: }		المستحددة المستحددة	0	0-
翽			4		ļ		0	0	0:	0.5
		。 《中国大学》中,他,因为19、19、19、19、19、19、19、19、19、19、19、19、19、1	juralinarens Guralinarens	7.7.			0	0:	0.	Q.
騎. .		Authoritical and all and an artistical in the second of the second design of the complete of the second design of	jang, katen atang M		·	Este e.a. y facigationstructure destri		,		
		፤ የመታወረው ነገር ነገር ነገር ነገር ነገር መቀም መመደም ነገር ነገር ነገር ነገር የመመረ ነገር	क्षेत्रस् क्षानद्भयः ज्ञायस् व	#1+#11-#	; (f for rand summa histold stand that yet die salahi	U	: 	u: (است. است. بدر ادر در	00
		፟ ዀቘጚጚፙቘዀጚኯ፟ዹዹዀቔፙቜቝፙፚፙኯ፟ፙቔዀጚቑቔ፠ጜኯጜፙኯኯፚቜቑቑጜ፞ዹዄጟቒጜጚቑቔቔቔጜዹጜዄፙኇቜቔቜጜዹጜኯ ፞			į i	1	0	0.	O.	0,1
觀		መደር ላ CERRITY ሲያ ታይ ኒፌቭ (ዘመመር 1935) የመመር ለዓመር ያለድ ድርድ አገር የመጠብ አመር መስመው ነው። መመር ላ CERRITY ሲያ ታይ ኒፌቭ (ዘመመር 1935) የመመር ለዓመር ያለድ ድርድ አገር የመጠብ አመር የመመር መስመው የሚያ መመር አመር መመር ለመመር ነው። መመር ለመመር	in Transportation of the state	an- artm*/			0	0	0	0
#	275. 1. . 4 -28£1 *27 E	表现的形式,我们就是不是不可以是这些人的意思,我们就是我们的那个人们的是我们的是这个人的,我们就会想到这里的的是不要的的。	Hera Amoust	nemetati	DESK SKER	Caracter State (4) to the factor for	NATIONAL PROPERTY SEES CONTRACTOR	ونود المصديدة بالما بالمعصمة		nanacan e cananan e cananan
臅		。 1. 图形数形式 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	arur sumud	17.01 -100	*****					
蹭.		Bennesdagen stom da ogi, sidma samme da ngil dyakin kangsahingma filika ngilang manakingma pahir a mahangsahina sa wasan ya masa ngikingma					0	0:	0	0.4
	多世間網	信斯斯治尔克兰沙里克斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯	地影響的	в	拥陷	105,420	632,520	0.	3,086	635,586
班基	同時期間	的过去式和过去式和过去分词 医克朗氏性神经炎性神经炎性神经炎性神经炎	四四种性,	95.51	Marie Marie	COLL DEPOSITOR	elembererer	Rist 1500 to 628	Linderlich Was	ariliani initia i mailia

111	1 1 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A take a second and the second of	n Vice Fire on Te	the same and the	to the little distant the const	agas tal beauty page top gracium	CONTROL OF CASE	A
3.	OTHER SA	ALARY: (1050, 1070, 10	90, 1092, 109	3, 1098)	a por la		i di	(1) 原作 (4)
(e)	Account N	o. Description:			Type	Qty/Hrs	Cost	Total
1		and and any or the second seco			plants, Equipmen	- Specific Files		0
					# #			0
								0
	·	e granda i sa katawa		1 5 2 0	Company of the Company	10 - 200 - 3 - 5	1.	· · · · ·
lidi. Mari	1098	VARIABLE STAFFING	62			2,080	176	366,080
				:		2.080	176	366.080



BUDGET REQUEST: EXPENSE/EQUIPMENT/DETAIL FY 2011-2012

PACKAGE TITLE: Ordinance No. 181989 - start up costs

ACKAGE TITLE: Ordinance No.	от делигония Ально то полительной составлений простительной полительной полит	E CHARLES			PAGE
cct Title	Acct Detail	Typ	<u>Qt</u> (Q)	Unit Cost	
010 Office & Administrative	的作用CE SUPPLIES	A Proposition		7 30	0 2,100
	的。 1986年 - 1985年 - 1985年 - 1985年 - 19	er schipping	Hilipuarus comecu J	nannozau worno moderna	0)
			inii gaaraanaanaa Hiidaanaanaanaa	stepnesy grant matematical Malagareanta new grante	O ser international description of the contract of the contrac
	是一种,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是这种的,我们就是这个人的	ospania Ospania	il Illiano e Laboratorio	andersus constantes	0 - ! And intermental confidence diabota
	कृति । इ. १८ कोमान मान्यार एक महत्वस्थान स्थापन स्थापन इ. १९ कोमान मान्यार स्थापन	nijerieni N	iii. James maara maa	tederinanierinerineter	0 מתמיד הוה היה שובו שוניים והודים והיו ביו ביו ביו ביו ביו ביו ביו ביו ביו ב
	होते. 19 de seus minu emperativos de 1900 e 19 19 de seus minu emperativos de 1900 e 1	entransia Partitioner	A All Carrier or Street	i Orfdadi ari adminis	O Paramananan na samunan Na
	en er	adi ja ilia sebestar	iliqid tahan waxannata	Attivities totals of	О АЗТИТЕ БИНИТЕБ БИТЕГИЛИ ИСТЕЙН О
		Total:	igerenama. S	7 300	2,100
The second of th	The control of the co	V TOTAL COLUMN	iperate organisation of 1 state of the second of the second and the second of the second		
20 Operating Supplies	OPERATING EXPENSES	Total September	nder ber der ber ber ber ber ber ber ber ber ber b	7 200	1,400
proprieta de la completa de la comp La completa de la co	$-18e^{-1} + 6e^{-1} \cos \theta \cos \theta + 6e^{-1} \cos \theta \cos \theta + 6e^{-1} \cos \theta \cos \theta + 6e^{-1} \cos \theta + 6e^{$	aden me	·		0:
The state of the s		3.4.		TIME OF A SEPTEMENT	0
of the first the second of the	2. The second of the second		j	,	0
的人们的人们的人们的人们的人们的人们的人们的人们的人们的人们的人们的人们的人们的	1. (c. 11. 1. 1. 1) (c. 11. 1. 12. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11.	e Games des Palsia	i ii iii ii i	ingamatansa amakantar	O
alpharaide reamain iomraide international de cara commence y an imperior en me The state of the caracteristic and the caracteristic	and the state of t	en Marier was ner	ji or		0
	т. Куры жылын уынунын темай айтага айсаат айсаастаасынан айтаан шаарын каласын айсасын айсанын айсан айсан айсаны	خون دونو ۱ وغوده ورواه ر	di Historia	id Ngga mga pangganan m	0 (hipsan na ana ana ana ana ana
					O Literatus eteksimismistikanje
		Total:		7 200	1,400
30 Uniforms	*UNIFORM SPECIAL DUTY	eljanarans.	dhio suest on www	6,180	43,260
	i i Pri complication and state and alternation of the state of the property of the state of the	edamenterse	Language and a second	Talong seenchiganing seem	
	ing of the state o	nochest, eranseller.	permayon monar	i Ranceptivitishus in propins C	O:1
	en international entre productive de administration de la contraction de la contract	anchestrate (in	j Jesenamanetou an J	A-Atanamhnuaire curtaire	05
	ter in transporter provincial productive desired control control provincial provincial control provincial prov	egantaviani egantaviani	TOTAL CHARLES	akeppersolutery rough series	0
	де и исторично во на постоя на подости и по подости подости и посторично и подости и подости и подости и подост В постоя подости и подости и подости и подости подости и подости и подости и подости и подости и подости и под В постоя подости и подости	ellenárni segund	inne 2014 menta, 6,225,163	emanustrates and second	0 0 3
	god, is the representation the state of the presentation of the state		 	THE CONTRACTOR PROPERTY OF THE	0
		Total: \$	7	6,180	43,260
O Rescue Supplies & Expense	· internation of the state of			2,080	12,480
	i da	e commentence	SINDSLEBRATION SUL	ana bandhanrawarra (Aprilianistenistenistenistenistenistenisteniste
	the street with a recent of the section of the sect	() Carbante, rer to er	91 · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ettus is ein andicht U	0
	2. 中央部件中部中央市工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作	Entre-entre	ha Kimmani	r in senengengeren a	D
	The second secon	i		eran telleger _e	0.3
egeneralista (h. 1904). 1905 - Francisco Francisco (h. 1904).				•	+ 0
garan da sa					0
; .				1 . 12	0
ing a second of the second of		Total: \$	6	2,080	12,480



BUDGET REQUEST: EXPENSE/EQUIPMENT DETAIL FY. 2011-2012

PACKAGE TITLE: Ordinance No. 181989 - start up costs

DAGE

Acct Title		Act Detail	Type	Qty (Q)	Unit Cost	Proposed (Q*U)
GSD GSD		Tuel- non-emergency sedan 2 Transpromentality (understerrenden sedant transpromentality (under the sedant transpromentality) 2 Transpromentality (under transpromentality) 3 Transpromentality (under transpromentality) 4 Transpromentality (under transpromentality) 5 Transpromentality (under transpromentality) 6 Transpromentality (under transpromentality) 7 Transpromentality (under transproment			600	0 3,600
				- Constitution and the constit	ene kapataning yalahaningan d	(0)
		是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个		A state of the sta	era da mario esta esta esta esta esta esta esta esta	O Company of the Comp
		。1.2006-71231457-7125252666646567-71675467.579123.2 1934-71446665352676643526765454545454654654654654654654654 - 1.2014-6166-6184-61846658546744-6146664646464646464646464646464646464	g Herringsver Herr	gan erekalen errike Gan erekalen errike	and method or public or a	inde eta en
	elings, terminal programmer and the second of the second o		Total: \$			
7340 Transportat	tion Equipment	THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF		e series in inches Presentation	16,500	99,000
	De Experience de la companya del companya del companya de la compa		a Šuromatyje Šuromatyje	F		0 0 0
chiquein San hir uzha a carlot chi ir San ha i trisa a carlot cui san uzha carlot a la la la la la la			1 	i.		0.
		en entre en	wyara-	i.		0
			Total: \$	9	16,500	
3090 Field Equip		AUTO PARTS/VEHICLE/YR Tellerangensstate carefulle for attack to the property of the control of of the contr		6 6	600 100	3,600 600
		TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	elle ole dijkon glevika i is, oleo Gaze sklekteriji pilotog at d	a marada e patra e aporte de la composición del composición de la composición del composición de la composición del composición de la composición del composición del composic	0 0
				and the second	Appropriately and the state of	00 00
		44. 74% от 1984 година предержава предостава по предостава по предостава по предостава предостава предостава по предоста по предостава по предостава по предостава по предостава по предо	on and depleten	aad eady, during wege	ו ו ובר וויטיו ומולון דאושק נושי	0)
	自然的特色自然的有限的方式的特殊的特殊。	na da Jaramanning (tare decembra aroveres around a superior around	den succession	1		1
			Total: \$	12,	700;	4,200
9999 OTHER		Office Space (clerical & supervisors, 64 sq ft)	Fotal: \$	12	700	2,304
9999 OTHER		Office Space (clerical & supervisors, 64 sq ft)	PRINCEPANCES	12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -		2,304
9999 OTHER	的工程的使用的原则的任何是不是一种的特殊的	Office Space (clerical & supervisors, 64 sq ft) Office Space (inspector, 108 sq ft)	TOTAL S		2,304	2,304; 23,308 23,328
		Office Space (clerical & supervisors, 64 sq ft) Office Space (inspector, 108 sq ft)			2,304	2,304 3,328 23,328

ATTACHMENT D



BUDGET REQUEST/REDUCTION PACKAGE FY 2011-2012

1.3	DEPT/BUREAU: LAFD/FPB	2a. TYPE: Current New Reduction 2b. PRIORI	TY
3.	PACKAGE TITLE: Ordinance No.	181989	And it made to see the second of the second
4.	ELEMENT/PROGRAM TITLE:4 AF3805-FPB	and the control of th	on the state of th
5.	PROVIDES SERVICES TO YOUTH AND CHIL	DREN: Yes No.	
6a.	DESCRIPTION OF WORK OUTPUT AND OB.	JECTIVE:	
			52 45 153 164 575
6b.	ADDRESSES MAYOR'S BUDGET GOALS:	Public Safety	
7.	LEGAL BASIS State Federal	City Charter Ad. Code/Ordinance Policy or Budget	
8.	LAYOFFS: Projected number if ba	ckage is not funded.	
9.	SOURCE OF FUNDS: List all proposed funding	ig sources and basis for use of special purpose funds. If more than one source (of funds is
	proposed for this packa	ge complete the attachment.	
10.	REVENUE: State the revenue impact of funding	g or not funding this package and any required ordinance changes:	
	ी व्यक्ति विकास स्थापित विकास वि विकास विकास वि	。 - "一个,我们就是一个,我们就是一个,我们就是一个,我们就是一个,我们就是一个,我们就是一个,我们就是一个,我们就是一个,我们就是一个,我们就是一个,我们就是	Service of the servic
	AND THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF		Apple and the second se
11.	IMPACT: Detail quantifiable and non-quantifiable and non-quantificable and non-quantifiable.	lable benefits of funding this package, including the impact on service-levels; and	l any
	- 2 de 2,544-9 (1923) h 19-4 (2 " - 2-4) (1 de 2,545-5) (2 de 2,54	要要的现在分词,并在1964年的公司,在1964年的1964年的1964年的1964年的1964年的1964年的1964年的1964年的1964年的1964年的1964年的1964年的1964年的1964年的1964年的	The consideration with the first section of the fir
	ችን መጀመሪያ ተመሰው ያስመን ያስመን የመስፈዋም ነታት አመተናቸው ተመሰማቸውን የመጀመሪያ አመታቸው አስተ አስተዋለነት የመመስፈዋቸውን መጀመሪያ የመመመሪያ የአመር ነው።	######################################	Zaterranan keneralan dan keneralan ke
12	RESOURCES REQUIRED NEXT FISCAL YEA	R: SPACE (SQ. FT.)	
	SWORN SALARY	CIVICIAN SALARY EQUIPMENT/EXPENSE No:of Civilian: 18 Acct Acct Name	Amount
		6010 Office & Administrative	36,000
	Acct Acct Name Amount Acct Name 10,958,118 10	Set Arice Amount 6020 Operating Supplies 1,089,359 4430 Uniforms	24,000 630,360
	[新] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1	90 Overline General 0 3260 Rescue Supplies & Expense	212,160 934,080
	52,299 1 10 52,299 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Subtotal Salary: 1 069 359	344,400
	1092: Overime Swom	7300 Furniture, Office & Tech. Equip 6020 Operating Supplies	724,500 24,000
	1093 Constant Starfing 0.500,000	GSD GSD	33,600
	Subtotal Salary: 11,510,417	7340 Transportation Equipment 3090 Field Equipment Expense	924,000 42,700
		9999 OTHER	436,320
	Pension 3,366,334 Benefits 1,258,170	Pension 291,542 Benefits 177,552	Õ
が開発	Subtotal P. & B. 4,624,504	SUbtotal F. & B: 469,094	0
	Sworn Total: 16,134,921	Civilian Total: 1,538,453 Equipment/Expense Total:	4,366,120
		Budget Package Total:	22,039,494
an an		The transfer of the department of the second	AL CALEBOOK OF THE PARTY OF THE



BUDGET REQUEST: POSITION/SALARY DETAIL FY 2011-2012

PACKAGE TITLE: Ordinance No. 181989

1.	CIVILIAN	POSITIONS: FY:2011-2012					经更需要的 Valida		
	.4 <u>Class</u> 	Class Title	Type	<u>No.</u>	Month	s Salary	Gross	<u>Less Salary</u> Savings	Net Salary
	1223-1	ACCOUNTING CLERK I	1.6. J., _{2. C} . E. E. E.	2	12	57,382	114,764	5,738	109,026
	1223-2	ACCOUNTING CLERK II	na nin kantan	1	12	63,671	63,671	3,184	60,487
	1116	mandesandesevelentaria (a. 1921-194) eta 18. kilosi (b. 1941-194). SECRETARY		1	12	65,836	65,836	3,292	62,544
	9184-2	MANAGEMENT ANALYST II	ng pagagang da	2	12	84,005	168,010	8,401	159,609
	1523-2	SCHOOLSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSES		2	12	82,601	165,202	8,260	156,942
	1596-2	SYSTEMS ANALYST II		1	12	83,596	83,596	4,180	79,416 震
	1368	CLERK TYPIST		2	12	60,936	121,872	6,094	115,778
1	1358	gerega angang menangganikan per seminanggan panggang pangganggan per perpendipan segara a beba CLERK TYPIST		7	12	48,956	342,692	17,135	325,557
		CONTROL OF THE STATE OF THE STA				* 17"1005 #14 . er# 15 161 140V	0	0	0
		(2) 2012年6月2日 1922年7月2日 1921年7月2日 1922年7月2日 1922年7月2	nemia in J	. Alternational of		The state of the s	0	0	0
	restell on leteral or fresh arms of the	 A CONTRACTOR CONTRAC	HERITAGE MACE	. 1	1		0	0	0
		The state of the s	in particular reside	men entertal r			0	0	0
	E S. B. C Bush beginner.		ENGARANTE E	ning a sequent state	setimatinistasi	ivittra siisrini siidut ii irigiriid	0	O.	Bir O
	100 A 2000 C 200 C 200 C	t in videologian view new men propriet a des formanisces mentre regis alternite à referencialist appropriet provincies des registres de la formation de la for	4200004-1914	b. 11-minut 1-11	an Caise managed		0	0	0
T.				18	and the	546,983	1,125,643	56,284	1,069,359
1	Acid Reserv			17.75%2978	海湖區 對	PROSTREMENTAL PR	ACEUSTRIA PROPERTIES DE LA COMPANIONE DE L	KARASHERSENINI	A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T

2. SWORN POSITIONS: FY 201	

Class			湖海				Constant	经制造成	机造化的铁路泵
Code	<u>Class Title</u>	Type	No.	Viont	ns Salary	Gross	Staffing	Bonus	Net Salary
	INSPECTOR I (SD)	in an annual and a second	91	12	105,420	9,593,220	0	46,501	9,639,721
	高級のMAN のものでは最終的のTRAMENTAL TRAMENTAL MAN TR	7	8	12	120,373	962,984	0	4,088	967,072
2152	COUNTY CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY	Bankouni B	1	12	149,146	149,146	0	688	149,834
2142-2	PIRE CAPTAIN II (SD)	Ann-sman A	2	12	126,384	252,768		1,022	253,790
	kistens (), ordindere, is 1975 etaks internapatara etakerrak rapadareka, danete eurikita etakanataka aksepten A 	Garan-trad A			,1.10pc41; du4*u14,	0:	0	0	0
	表现 化工作中分类 (C) 使用 全面的社会工程的证据的证据的证明的证明的证明的证明的证明的证明的证明的证明的证明的证明的证明的证明的证明的	Heinensberauf H	ar ay bal than	-1		0	0	0	
Andrews and an experience	在自己的不是不错的。这个人们的这个对于我们并随时的特别是对他的关键的对象的对象。 第一章		ohran.Mar	LL TURNSU	educamental actività at ter-	O CONTRACTOR PRICED IN	naranananananananananananananananananan	O	100 marine programment
	支持型性的高品值等的。而因为自然的可能是是可能的表面的。	Taketharida Taketharida	pr -4-4-17	es triposum			n:		U.S
S	2000年11日 1916年 - 1818年 1217日 5日 中国工作的工作工作的工作工作的工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作	Harry State Artis Harry State Artis		.,,,,,,	et - 1, ty tysman, 4 12.	0.			
	erversa, as, mora, programo serves are incluyer disconstructura er serves angre er seem numeron quent er reme En er serves programmes en	la maranta			ye oo maa saaraa waxaa	on the control of the			
M	१८८७ । १८८ १८५ १८८ १८८ १८ १८ १८ १८ १८ १८ १८ १८ १८ १८ १	Fig.				,,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			01
g	(1912年1977年),1、1914年1978年1884年(1918年1877年1882年1882年1882年1882年1882年1882年18	ii Grantii	dalan sal sa		intellementat per erie nel c. a. a. e. i	0		D	90 2
Hamaumeri van eren	在12 (c. 1.5) 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	E versoonen	HACHBRE KAI		THE STREET BEING STREET	на глина на применения () О .	O	O 1007-1007-000-000-000-000-000-000-000-00	70 [2013] William William William Per
	ファインドリー・マイ・マイ・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・	and the second	arı, ar,	, , pr	FA. 144444444 44444	0'	0.	0	0
	ga, et statut in his anggit me sang san gahigi sa nasingki nangkinan an dipantig paking pang pangan pangang paking paking makka	1			-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	0	0	0	0.5
			102	調製	501,323	10,958,118	0.	52,299	11,010,417

3. OTHER SALARY: (1050, 1070, 1090, 1092, 1093, 109	
Account No Description	

-1	Account No.	Description:	Total
		of the term of the control of the co	0
i) ii)	í),,	Control date of Millians Consisting strengths response control of the month of strengths of the control of the	0
		The state of the second contract that the second contract of the second contract the second configuration of the second contract the second configuration of the second contract the second configuration of the second contract t	0
Ĭ,	famor was or a service or	Province in the contract the territories and the contract the contract of the	0 d
		The province of the second of	
ú	1098	VARIABLE STAFFING 500,000	0 500,000
ij	質物的學的物學	1 500,000	500,000



BUDGET REQUEST: EXPENSE/EQUIPMENT DETAIL FY: 2011-2012

PACKAGE TITLE: Ordinance No. 181989

anderen bereiten betreit der	organgerion Barbarbar	中华(1761)中华。 (1865) 2001 南中	aran sa neamh Ar an Aran a	
	1-2-4	<u>Ofv</u>	Unit Cost	Proposed
Acct Detail	Type	(Q)		*(Q*U)
6010 Office & Administrative OFFICE SUPPLIES	ng Macamatan na ara	120	300	36,000
	ž	5		0
表现是是是是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个 一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是	prosentar	Karamanan dan kecamatan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan d	Galacter of control of property of the B	O P
	light at the second second	James a mara ca	t Salah di Marina 1971 Salah di Marina 1971	reason was said said
THE TRANSPORT OF THE STATE OF T	ใหรองกระหน	(d) Garager C. Burner (d) Garager C. Burner (d)	у учин о и в отпеченация:	United the second of the secon
PROPERTY CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF T	j Martaera	(0/5 Marco server security and a security
· 医神经性神经性 (1) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	d.			0
Note Manual Control of the Administration of the Administrat	GRAIM, GESATA J	Bakanan ana. B	јалька почетович Англем Ј	an s ramaner i Milliana and and f
Tanggarang dan 1966 (1966) dan 1966 da Banggarang dan 1966	fan rausenf	Janesia and a sing	is Daniel Later (L. 1743) B	neration and exercising
	ATRICES I	i I dan kemenasan was	decident of field the serves.	U ₁
	Total: \$	120	300	36,000
的复数是一种的一种,但是一种的一种,但是一种的一种的一种,但是一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一	神神	12900	对理解器的	消耗的問題
。 [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]		SOM: 161	學學學	文件。在100mm,100mm。 文件:在100mm,100mm。
6020 Operating Supplies OPERATING EXPENSES	É	120	200	24,000
	gen enversamme g	TO THE PARTY OF TH	C - MAN CHEM THE TOWNS	0.
The state of the s	ผู้สหายเลา (2002) ระหวั	gereriere anien s G	ing the state of t	n e
The second secon	none and	KERIVELTINET	en mant n'aratha man eas	Commercial LA Transport
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	हें देशक प्रश्तिक स्टब्स्ट्री	deres in describing and	antama minarantana.	019 Spores a reno - spare a comunicación
Maria Maria Sala Maria M	locatentiare et			0
		1		0
SERVICE AND SERVICE AND SERVICE AND SERVICE AND AND AND AND ASSESSED ASSESSED AND ASSESSED ASSESSED AND ASSESSED ASSESSED AND ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED AND ASSESSED ASSESSEDANCE ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASS	guetico e result	Facementure consider as A B	ран населенуване и неври 1	Maryery 2012 STEPLETON OF THE MATERIAL STEPLETON OF THE S
。 1915年,1916年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1 1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1917年,1	landavniens!	Es communicare que mesta.		0
	n Averantiera	de 200 e i est calendario	ik K Litherakan angawaka inangki	16
	Total: \$			24,000
				1442382353 3438
	是防衛		WILLIAM !	
4430 Uniforms UNIFORM SPECIAL DUTY		102	6,180	630,360
是一个专家的,我们就是一个专家的,我们就是一个专家的。我们就是一个专家的,我们就是一个专家的,我们就是一个专家的。我们就是一个专家的,我们就是一个专家的,我们就 我们就是一个专家的,我们就是一个专家的,我们就是一个专家的,我们就是一个专家的,我们就是一个专家的,我们就是一个专家的,我们就是一个专家的,我们就是一个专家的,	March Black H	and and a second contracts	n e seascacacacaca e e E	0
Total Allegaria (1998) and the control of the contr	lastra en semi	BERLANDSHIP WERE THE STATE	rearmentary to exact the	A Commence of the Commence of
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	for the state of	economic constraint that	achtelvisketeralistismen king.	Company of the property of the
TERRETARIA DE LA CARTE DE LA COMPANION DE LA C	Ran et conservation		Í	0
				0.
AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	Polya Pakas soali P	diaminatement in section	e nagherithmena. Comb amberi	
BOOKER SERVICE CONTROL OF A TOTAL OF THE CONTROL OF	may the and	on jumps or jumps of the	4419***********************************	0 m
PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY OF T	ja vaidetaalj	inana a magazora wate.	in province the community of	I U
· PRINTER STEELE ST AND THE STEELE STEEL	g Figal derased			0.
	Total: \$	102	6,180	630,360
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	THE REAL PROPERTY.		THE PRINT	
	使性的 的	清楚原	estatication of the second	
∰ 3260 ¥Recue Supplies & Evnesse → RREATHING APPARATHS	g s ,ve Kund PEF ; i	102	2,080	212,160
HIGH		A CONTRACTOR AND ANALYSIS	ara in manaya mengan wang	manufacture resembly and
Tomas and the second se	ghas rapido com ny g	A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY		0.8
Announce of the second	genz e rede i er e eg gene e erze e notal	The branch of the second section	grana emercia, brima en	O
Benefit of the second of the s	gene varet ver veg gene erten sekke g	ten bioministra eta besari	proper entre successive end description entre end	0 0 Processor for a consense of the consen
Employee on the control of the contr		NA EAST OF FEMALES	graphic financials, british a residence of the control of the cont	O O CONTROL OF THE STATE OF TH
	generated in Agriculture of the Control of the Cont	an e entre sua casa de la casa de La casa de la casa de	graphic filters (e.g., the street and	O control of the cont
Employee to the control of the contr	general en	gar permenang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	proportion of the second	O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
			proportion and trained and tra	O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
	Anta Carta de Carta d	An Emilian (All Carlos)	mana mana aka basa at ali	0
	American de esta de la companya de l	de promit de seu seu seu seu seu seu seu seu seu se	proper services and services are services and services are services and services and services and services are services and services and services are services are services and services are services are services ar	- 74
		Maraman Managa da Maraman Managa da Managa Managa Managa da Managa	2.080	0
	Total: \$	Maraman Managa da Maraman Managa da Managa Managa Managa da Managa	2,080	



BUDGET REQUEST: EXPENSE/EQUIPMENT DETAIL

FY 2011-2012

PACKAGE TITLE: Ordinance No. 181989

PAGEA

Charles and the contract of th	a year on the contract of the season of the	tatora na cara	到批准的统		的。由于特别的特殊
			Qty	Unit Cost	Proposed
9350 Communications Services	Acct Detail HANDIE TALKIE RADIO	Type	(<u>Q)</u> 102	(U) 6,040	(Q ↑U) 616,080
9350 Communications Services	The representative from the second control of the second control o	Çarananırını Z			318,000
	Angelingeren Water er transfer generaliste er star er is er sen hanne et en e Angelingeren Water er transfer generaliste er star er is er sen hanne et en e			Alternations and Arthur	annaman ede vid tratividani.
		encraspers	andras ir w Cantain.	emphagraph of the fitters	03
	and representations of transport or interpresent and the properties of the first property approximate desiration of the contract of the contra	kesusiese I	entermidentrudera. Note	альнегом ознавка яко п	aa taraanaa waxaanaanaa ahii O
	en kalandar an mengalan dan kembangkan pendan pendah pendah beberah pendah pendah dan mengan kemban berah berah Ke	orto unamente	l Proposition Proposition Proposition	fantaturpurtuudidatus dan 1	0
	Televen errein ander errein errein errein errein breiser errein er vertebet. Televen errein ander bester er er D		Printerensus unicheni S	masarantas Alakist iau	0
	。 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		andranichterperion	dAASSinu umbasSA.com	O COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF T
		Total: \$	9		934,080
6010 Office & Administrative	CHAIR, ROTARY ARM		120	185	22,200
Property of the state of the st	ANTIPOPOLINE MEDITAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	Lorennes.	120	135	16,200
	是这种的现在分词,我们就是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个	Languagad Languagad	120	AAAR BUESIEL LIUURIS TOO DES	36,000
	COMPUTER (GENERAL PURPOSE) S/W NOT INCLUDED	ŽIVITINITATIJE L	120	1,400	168,000
	17" FLAT PANEL MONITOR		120	450	54,000
The state of the s	SOFTWARE (COMPUTER OR LAPTOP)		120	400	48,000
		echitohunge L	Contractor terrector	Ctar, corutes, 19-um	O
	TO STORY OF THE PLANT OF THE STORY OF THE PLANT WE STORY OF THE STORY	PE TEMP I SQUARE.	i Complete Construction of the Construction of the Construction of	-M eyethelish - indestruc	0
		Total: \$			344,400
				46531133	
7300 Furniture, Office & Tech. Equip	MODULAR FURNITURE		119	6,000	714,000
		galeenspede T	20000-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-0	10,500	10,500
	。 大學的問題是在大學的學術的學術。 11. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12.	APPARATE AND A	interestatives	n. Garlet States (Garlet and Garlet and Garlet States (Garlet States (Garlet and Garlet	O CO
	en e		antalian properties and the fi	איינפעני מווה איט אסטייאין	Company was a second of the se
	。 李宇宙程式自由中国企业外在不同的企业人工的企业的企业,但是不同的企业的企业,在1990年的企业,在1990年的企业的企业,在1990年代的企业,在1990年代的企业,在1990年代的企业,在1990年代的企业。 中国企业工作的企业工作,在1990年代的企业工作工作工作工作工作,在1990年代的企业工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作		and deposite the service.	Aumment Canternante - 12 }	nester harmanasur-varenosiir. O
	。 [2] 13 14 15 15 16 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	DOPPOLITE VA	graph, implies services at same	di dip de prancis periodi il com	0
	。 - 2000年12月2日 12月1日 1	5164065(017)33	ennerum Jenes muner	MINISTER PARTIES, BELLEVIERS	nenana yananana ya wasak O
			Training - 13° control popularia	gerentand.onggere	on the second se
		Total: \$	120	16,500	724,500
	"出世民生活世界"的"国际"的"国际"的"国际"的"国际"的"国际"的"国际"的"国际"的"国际				
CO20 Operating Supplies	ODERATING EVENING				
6020 Operating Supplies	OPERATING EXPENSES	COLUMN STATEMENT	120	200	24,000
。 「新聞」の研究を選集的で表現が表現りの機構の研究	等。 1. 《大概》(1919年)	COUNTRACTOR	า เายายมหมาราน (เกเน	ment angua ya ya a angu L	variantes en mesta en esta en e Un esta en est
	大利亚的 2012年,是中国代码的代码。1912年6月,1914年1912年,2月12年1912年1912年1912年1913年1912年1913年1912年1912	(43 EP 24)	ייים או אינו היינור ביינור אינו אינור ביינור אינור ביינור	ः। स्थाप्तकारः सम्बद्धाः सम्बद्धाः । १९४८ मध्ये	יינים אינים באונים אינים אינים שניים יינים ריים מאונים אינים אינים שניים יינים מאונים אינים שניים אינים אינים אינים אינים אינים אינים אינים אינים אינים
[[4][[6][6][6][6][6][6][6][6][6][6][6][6][6	👺 १५७ - म्यान्य एक व्यवस्थान कामान्यस्य सारायस्य गासः चारान्यस्य स्थानस्य स्यानस्य स्थानस्य स्य स्थानस्य स्थानस्य स्थानस्य स्थानस्य स्थानस्य स्थानस्य स्थान	91166 N. 29	Andre armanice	er nagerassan in g	O P
	in the distribution of the contract of the con	9 56	er i se ese	and the second supply	75 HEADERT RESERVED
	 The property of the control of the property of the property of the property of the control of the		and the second		0
	ter in the properties of the contract of periods of the properties of the properties of the contract of the co				0
	The state of the s	Total: \$	120	200	24,000
Little in the least the latter of the latter		PARTITION AND THE PARTITION OF THE PARTI	acterius nor	uru maus, eta mail Bark berellekirekirek	
THE PROPERTY OF THE TRANSPORT OF THE PROPERTY	。1. 2000 高,2000 COA集务 在2000 COACESTEE 1990 AT LECTEE 提到的根据某种特殊及此类的基础设施的基础的基础的基础。	罗八色红色	WELL STREET	make it rath so a	TOTAL (1) A PROPERTY (1)



BUDGET REQUEST: EXPENSE/EQUIPMENT DETAIL FY 2011-2012

PACKAGE TITLE: Ordinance No. 181989

THE TRANSPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	en transportungs er ein in der stade til stade kommen er eine her eine er eine bestade til ein stade bestade i I der stade kritiken bestade er ein stade kommen stade bestade stade i der bestade en ein bestade ein kommen i	ingeriebel Sein ers	arm warmi Marthadhn	GENERAL BERNARD GENERAL GENERAL General General	ENTERINGE S
Acct Title	Acct Detail	Type	(G) GtA	Unit Cost	Proposed (Q * U)
GSD GSD	fuel- non-emergency sedan	ShetinDayin in L	56	600	33,600
		i (latin for a colonia del Egio James como colonia del	gir a series a propie care pro Bir Bir Bir	isegra uz nasegua. -	O
	, то не почет почет, то и сторо в завежения поставания выполня выполня на почетывают в почеты в совет в почеты Почетить почеты в почет не да ставиться на визако почеты и на выполня на выполня на на почеты в на выполня в п	e manga ang manga Mangang mangang di	Construction and B 3 3	Produced the state of the	0
	d. Control of the control of brain have two the transfer of the control of the co	ger moderner E Zerfessoules	g Gamen en en ense Gamen en ense	Carlo in the street	0
	id. Y Tananak Persenak di Karanak Mesanah menganak di Protestan Beranak Menganakan di Keranah Penganah Penganah Pe	d Giv sopali	L L	Lagrance received	0.
	。 - - - - - - - - - -	el eliter o salvadej el	il Partitionalists	j potracovo vacana	0
	त्र । दुः सः स्थापनात्रात्रात्रात्रात्रात्रात्रात्रात्रात्र	photocological	है है है । 1 1 क्वानक 1 555 (1)	award a more carmen and	0.7
					H V ADE OF BROOK ASSEMBLY
		Total: \$	* 56	600	33,600
基础建筑加强和企业的 企业。		数数数	POBLINE !	ivera	學以外的語
7340 Transportation Equipment	_VEHICLE, NON-EMERGENCY SEDAN दुरा अन्यत्रकार प्रकार कार्याकार प्रकार कार्याकार कार्याकार कार्याकार कार्याकार कार्याकार कार्याकार कार्याकार	g Essecuent and	56	16,500	924,000
	re Andreweller terrorister and the second of the second se	NORESTON.	ge kje overve van deg som in de	Secreta - Cara Actana	O To the second of the control of th
	$\frac{1}{2}$ which is also constructed by the second construction of the second construction and the second construction of the sec	da orazada Ba	ii Baran na na sala Baran na na sala		Or Or Or
	。 17. 17. 18. 1977年(中国基础公司的《中国中心》(17. 1981年)(中国中心)(17. 1982年)(17. 1982年)(17. 1982年)(17. 1982年)(17. 1982年)(17. 19	er de las reches	g Former ann actainm a Pr B	ou en tres nem sinculo	ง เจอกอเมาะ จากการการการการกับ 00
	。 大型、大型、大型、大型、大型、大型、大型、大型、大型、大型、大型、大型、大型、大	Paradon Not	il Panasa sen sener en A	de conservativo messe escrib	O S
			Outstand on the second	ha tanadan tembah meneri	0
	general de la composition de la compos La composition de la		ifaan maar aan oo oo oo if if	Quantitation and a 40 of 100 A T	0
		Total: \$	56 56	16,500	
\$P\$C\$. (2.5) TO A 2.5)		and the property of the	3	,	
					is a prompting the second of t
3090 Fleid Equipment Expense	AUTO PARTS/VEHICLE/YR		56		
3090 Field Equipment Expense	ুল্লানার্যার বিষয়ের ব িdisposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit			600 100	33,600 9,100
3090 Field Equipment Expense	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit		56	600	33,600
3090 Field Equipment Expense	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit		56	600	33,600
3090 Field Equipment Expense	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit		56	600	33,600
3090 Field Equipment Expense	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit		56	600	33,600
3090 Field Equipment Expense	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit		56	600	33,600
3090 Field Equipment Expense	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit	CANADA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA P	566 91	600. 100	33,600
3090 Field Equipment Expense	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit		56	600	33,600 9,100 0 0
3090 Field Equipment Expense	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit	CANADA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA P	566 91	600. 100	33,600
Page OTHER	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit consideration of the	and the second of the second o	56 91 147	600 100 700 2,304	33,600 9,100 0 0 0 42,700
Page OTHER	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit and the second secon	and the second of the second o	56 91 147	700 2,304 3,888	33,600 9,100 0 0 0 0 42,700 41,472 353,808
9999 OTHER	disposable gloves, goggles, blohazard disposal kit disposable gloves, goggles, blohazard disposable gloves, goggles, g	Total'S	56 91 147 18 18	700 2,304 3,888 3,456	33,600 9,100 0 0 0 42,700 41,472 353,808 34,580
9999 OTHER	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit and the second disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit and the second disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit and the second disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit and the second disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard gloves, goggles, biohazard gloves, goggles, gogg	Carlotte Control of the Control of t	56 91 147 18 91 10,	700 2,304 3,888 3,456	33,600 9,100 0 0 0 42,700 41,472 353,808 34,550 6,480
9999 OTHER	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit and the second secon	Carlotte Control of the Control of t	56 91 147 18 91 10,	700 2,304 3,888 3,456 6,480	33,600 9,100 0 0 0 42,700 41,472 353,808 34,580 6,480
9999 OTHER	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit and the second secon	Carlotte Control of the Control of t	56 91 147 18 91 10,	700 2,304 3,888 3,456 6,480	33,600 9,100 0 0 0 42,700 41,472 353,808 34,560 6,480 0
9999 OTHER	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit and the second secon	Carlotte Control of the Control of t	56 91 147 18 91 10,	700 2,304 3,888 3,456 6,480	33,600 9,100 0 0 0 42,700 41,472 353,808 34,580 6,480 0
9999 OTHER	disposable gloves, goggles, biohazard disposal kit service de la constitution de la cons	Carlotte Control of the Control of t	56 91 147 18 91 10,	700 2,304 3,888 3,456 6,480	33,600 9,100 0 0 0 42,700 41,472 353,808 34,550 6,480 0 0



BUDGET REQUEST: EXPENSE/EQUIPMENT DETAIL FY 2011-2012

PACKAGE TITLE: Ordinance No. 181989

The state of the s	的一个人,我们也没有一个人的人的,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人的人,我们就是一个人的人的人,我们就会没有一个人的人的人,我们就会没有一个人的人的人,也 第一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人的人,我们就是一个人的人的人,我们就是一个人的人的人的人,我们就是一个人的人的人的人的人,我们就是一个人的人的人的人的人,也可以	de la	温泉清洁	(明) 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	指針與非洲共和國的
			Qty	Unit Cost	Proposed
Acct Title	Acct Detail	Type	(Q)	型(U) 零	(Q ≛ U) ∰
ंदर न्यानामक स्थापना कृति भी प्रित्ता के विश्वास के विश्वास के प्राप्त के प्राप्त के प्राप्त के प्राप्त के प्रा रेटर्ड १८८ - १६	。 [1] 中国共享的1000年中央企业中的企业中的企业中的企业中的企业中的企业中的企业中的企业中的企业中的企业中的	te carattense: f	स्य प्रदेशकात्रकारस्य स्थानस्य वै	271 :: U. 310 - En-12-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-	0
	grans vang an escentiga secentra-sentah bahar pakan pang kalamata matang tahun menggan di secentralik menada pa Tahun pang kalaman pang kalamatan pang kalamatan pang kalamatan pang kalamatan pang kalamatan pang kalamatan p	envernmer.	Ceremonian representa-	www.manasse.manas	0
	and a compact of the section of the management of the section of t	teoristican	id Tura settermet al seurostra e res H	Adiousine to krateranse (MENTING DV WAS -NO LITERATURE
	f For a constitution of the state of the sta	रूका सङ्ख्यामात्राप		in'n niashiradanisisanyi	U) מושר להנא של לווא אינורא אונה אונו היו היו היו היו היו היו היו היו היו הי
	ing of the second of the secon	rakate otakatan			O ₁
	。 "我们也不知识,他们就是他们在这个知识的知识的有效的对象。" 【如此,我们就是你们的一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个	p -521 4 -77-1919	A CHARLES AND A CHARLES	(11) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13	0
40000000000000000000000000000000000000	。 一起,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们们就是一个人,我们们就是一个人,我们们就是一个人,我们们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们	HELYCLEPH WARD	en astronat er satticent	mand inginization than their	O P
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	。 我我们就是我们,但是我们的,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们	and and and and	i Turretornistryinis B	######################################	Procession of the Property of
	TARAN MANANTAN	i Markalana	वि 	independente rate nna nden: 	Anni rymacianiyytaayytiyosiroly
	in the control of the	hearst and the second) S		0,
		Total: \$	O	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	M. Shirit ut vidate emittel beteraller
			Legacionista		
		galaliya Galaliya		CHARLES.	建铁铁铁铁铁
ta Da na mana mana a di maring mang mang ang mana ang mana ang mana ang manang manang manang manang manang manang	TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE TAXABLE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	gean tava savet van	Parings Titt meeta.	Callery of mater Landbland Park	O,
	Company of the end of the control of	\$16.78953160742	er Statute any transmit any a St	ns Nive t Primitis and makes to the	O CONTRACTOR SALVES
	。 大學性學的問題,他們是一种的一個人的一個人的一個人的一個人的一個人的一個人的一個人的一個人的一個人的一個人	acambrocea <i>n</i>) gladata elemekretararasatet el	egun'menin syntygna i aman.	mutuumhtetatavaanad
			g Stanton potensolati B	as stavas causantes ateric	U:
	A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF T				0
	。 1912年中央大学的工作研究中央中央社会工作的工作。在工作的企业的中央社会的企业的企业的企业,1912年中央工作的工作的工作的工作。1912年中央工作工作的工作工作工作。 1913年中央工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作	1 5 30,000,400,400,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	g Garanas a ranas se Garanas a ranas se	(ver, tarament for state	0
	是在这种是不是是一种的。 1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,1985年,19	Szerozucenyce:	lananasia. I	Autumosta production	O
	的现在分词,我们就是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个		gi aratanzana marata	inground sameons reciti	promonentalistical
	的。 19. 可是他们的全体的是实现的对方的对象是不是有关的,但是他们的现在分词,但是是是一种人们的是是一种人们的是是一种人们的是一种人们的是一种人们的是一种人们的		ति ति विकासम्बद्धाः १८५५ १ सम्बद्धाः	raire (ut to at feathering) and h	Sostanes mare antique modulate
	A L. C.	incresser parament	960		0
等。 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		Total: \$	0	O CONTRACTOR	C. C
AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE				HER THE STATE OF T	
	数。 第15至20至42至15至25至5至5的第三年用他的特别地位,据2452012至3万元25212至45至503至125222222222222222222222				90000000000000000000000000000000000000
	。 1.	paraterena.	errandunanea dan barai	องเกรียวจริงแก้ระวรรรษาจ.63	PERSONAL PROPERTY AND PROPERTY
	NAMERING PROGRESS CONTRIBUTES OF MARIE AND STATE OF STREET, STATE STREET, AND STREET SAND STREET, STRE		utatikunasitenniat	uni 11 Antora montre ce ce c	TANKS TO THE WAY WAY WAY TO THE TANKS
	In the control of t	j Bessmanini	Eno thumbar burbur	madanihirak panan dana	O
					0
	。 1977年中的自由的自由的主义的共享的主义,但是国际的国际的工程的企会中的自由的主义的主义的主义的主义的主义的自己的主义。在1986年的工程的主义的主义的主义的	を表すが下回されば。 自 自	hay area in a second	k Warmer's Assessment and	O Company
		के करण गाउँगा। विकास	auritania tanàna mpika	na 1930 h. Leon Street Constitution (Constitution Constitution Constit	CONTRACTOR SUBSECTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PA
			tow-ust foreductual dis	Jurus maddineranan di	en-remandent en man
	A Na arabana padakan kasaran darah	1	i i		i Uz
		PRESIDENTIAL ARCH]]n a londs Admind cetur).೯ನೆರಣಚಿತ್ರವೇಗಳು ಬಿಡಿಕೆಕಾಪಿಡಾಗೇವೆ	american that that has back
	The control of the co		er a Seres de Administra (° estado), ಕಳುರಾಜಕಿಸುವ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿ	nachtmikackettetaket O
Buren Silving and Transfer and			na tanés hamané satur ugay na kana tanagan O	erfonesterentestestestestestestestestestestestestest	nchámickatá frantossatorana O Promisovide lako as no, s a co
Appendict Control of the Control of		Total: \$	gunerarandesistens	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	K Barrana apandaranan barranan
			gunerarandesistens	201275295355957597656	O
		Total \$		201275295355957597656	
		Total \$		201275295355957597656	
		Total \$		201275295355957597656	
		Total \$		201275295355957597656	
		Total \$		201275295355957597656	
		Total \$		201275295355957597656	0 0
		Total \$		201275295355957597656	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
		Total \$		201275295355957597656	
		Total \$		201275295355957597656	
		Total \$		201275295355957597656	
		Total \$			
ESTERNIS OF STATES OF STATES OF STATES		Total \$			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0